U.S. Department of Commerce U.S. Patent and Trademark Office



Privacy Impact Assessment for the Master Data Management (MDM)

Reviewed by: Henry J. Holcombe, Bureau Chief Privacy Officer

☑ Concurrence of Senior Agency Official for Privacy/DOC Chief Privacy Officer

□ Non-concurrence of Senior Agency Official for Privacy/DOC Chief Privacy Officer

JENNIFER GOODE Digitally signed by JENNIFER GOODE Date: 2022.03.25 11:15:20 -04'00'

3/25/2022

U.S. Department of Commerce Privacy Impact Assessment USPTO Master Data Management (MDM)

Unique Project Identifier: EBPL-DA-02-00

Introduction: System Description

Provide a brief description of the information system.

The Master Data Management (MDM) system is comprised of a FedRAMP authorized Software as a Service (SaaS) suite, Collibra Data Intelligence Cloud (CDIC) and Jobserver. CDIC is a platform in which USPTO internal users can build their own data governance management system. This platform includes user management, privilege management, data catalog, workflows, and data stewardship. The CDIC platform ingests metadata, and authorized users are responsible for managing and controlling the permission and policies surrounding the data. The tool allows users to store and track metadata, create dashboards, create a business glossary, capture an inventory of reports, and use workflows to manage their data. Jobserver executes processes collecting data source meta-data which is transmitted to the CDIC Software as a Solution (SaaS) system.

Address the following elements:

(a) Whether it is a general support system, major application, or other type of system MDM is a FedRAMP authorized SaaS system.

(b) System location

The locations of the MDM components are as follows:

- CDIC: This component is a SaaS suite of the tools that resides in the cloud.
- Jobserver: This executes processes collecting data source meta-data which is transmitted to the CDIC Software as a Solution (SaaS) system and is installed on servers located in the data center at 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA. This server resides on the USPTO network (PTONet).
- (c) Whether it is a standalone system or interconnects with other systems (identifying and describing any other systems to which it interconnects)

Systems that interconnect to MDM:

- PTO-CFS Consolidated Financial System (PTOC-001-00) (CFS): CFS is a master system composed of the following four subsystems: Momentum, Concur Integration, E-Acquisition (ACQ), and VendorPortal. Momentum is a full-featured Commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) accounting software package that permits full integration of the processing of financial transactions with other normal business processes. Concur Integration works with Momentum and passes data back and forth between the systems using web services. ACQ provides an automated solution for the procure-to-pay process in the acquisition community at the USPTO. VendorPortal provides a platform for vendor interaction whereby USPTO may publish notices, solicitations and award announcements, etc.
- PTO-IDP Information Delivery Product (PTOC-003-00) (IDP): IDP is a master system composed of the following three subsystems: Enterprise Data Warehouse (EDW), Electronic Library for Financial Management System (EL4FMS), and Financial Enterprise Data Management Tools (FEDMT). EDW provides a tool that allows managers and analysts to analyze business processes, resource use and needs, and other facets of the business. EL4FMS provides access to USPTO financial-related documents to support the decision-making activities of managers and analysts. FEDMT is a database/user interface solution utilizing the Oracle APEX product to build small applications to support Financial Reference data.
- PTO-FPNG Fee Processing Next Generation (PTOC-004-00) (FPNG): Fee Processing Next Generation is the United States Patent and Trademark Office's (USPTO) "Next Gen" solution for fee processing. FPNG allows internal and external users to manipulate payment accounts, perform profile updates, and make payments for USPTO goods and services. It also provides all functionality related to managing payments, replenishing and transferring of deposit account balances, etc. (primarily handled by the General Ledger/Account Commercial off the Shelf (COTS) Support tier/Momentum). FPNG also supports pricing rules management as well as refund requests and approvals. FPNG has interfaces to various USPTO systems and with the United States Treasury. USPTO system interfaces include MyUSPTO, Role Based Access Control (RBAC) system, Patent Application Location Monitoring (PALM), Momentum, Active Directory, Electronic Library for Financial Management Systems (EL4FMS) and the Enterprise Data Warehouse (EDW). FPNG interfaces to US Treasury include Pay.Gov and Over the Counter (OTCnet) application services.
- PTO-PBP Planning and Budgeting Products (PTOC-030-00) (PBP): PBP is a master system composed of following three subsystems: Activity Based Information System (ABIS), Analytics and Financial Forecasting (AFF), and Enterprise Budgeting Tool (EBT). ABIS streamlines and automates business processes. AFF supports the analysis of fee collection information and decision-making. EBT supports central planning and budgeting.

(d) The way the system operates to achieve the purpose(s) identified in Section 4

The purpose of the MDM system is to serve as a foundational tool in the effort to mature the data management practices under the Enterprise Data as an Asset initiative. It will provide USPTO internal users the following major capabilities:

- Data Catalog Discover and understand the data that matter and allow generating insights that drive business value
- Data Governance Establish a shared business language and understand the everevolving data landscape
- Data Lineage Show how data flows from system to system, with complete, end-to-end lineage visualization
- Data Privacy Operationalize and manage policies across the privacy life cycle and scale compliance across new regulations
- Data Quality Auto-generate data quality rules to continuously improve trust in our data and analytics

(e) How information in the system is retrieved by the user

MDM allows users to retrieve information in electronic format. MDM allows user access to the CDIC platform, where they can perform contextual search and access reports and dashboards.

(f) How information is transmitted to and from the system

MDM transmits metadata to CDIC cloud using a secured HTTPS connection.

(g) Any information sharing

MDM collects information about USPTO employees and contractors such as First Name, Last Name, and Email Address. This information is based on roles associated with various data domains (e.g., Name of the Data Owner role of the Patent Quality Data) and will be shared within the bureau on a case-by-case basis.

(h) The specific programmatic authorities (statutes or Executive Orders) for collecting, maintaining, using, and disseminating the information

The following federal laws provide the specific programmatic authority for collecting, maintaining, using, and disseminating the information: E-Government Act of 2002; and Foundations for Evidence-Based Policymaking.

(i) The Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) 199 security impact category for the system

The FIPS 199 security impact category for MDM is Moderate.

Section 1: Status of the Information System

| 1.1 Indicate whether the i | nforn | natior | n system is a new or e | xisting | g system. | |
|---|--|--------|------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|
| ☐ This is a new information | on G | vston | | | | |
| | • | • | | | | 1 |
| | rmatı | on s | ystem with changes th | at cre | ate new privacy risks. (Ch | eck |
| all that apply.) | | | | | | |
| Changes That Create New | Priv | acy R | isks (CTCNPR) | | | |
| a. Conversions | | | d. Significant Merging | | g. New Interagency Uses | |
| b. Anonymous to Non- Anonymous | | | e. New Public Access | | h. Internal Flow or Collection | |
| c. Significant System Management Changes | | | f. Commercial Sources | | i. Alteration in Character of Data | |
| j. Other changes that creat | te new | priva | cy risks (specify): | | | |
| ☐ This is an existing information in the section 2: Information in the section 2.1 Indicate what personal | rmati DP ap he Sy lly ic | on sy | ed Privacy Impact Ass | es do : sessm | not create new privacy risks nent. | |
| a. Social Security* | | f. I | Driver's License | | j. Financial Account | |
| b. Taxpayer ID | | g. P | Passport | | k. Financial Transaction | |
| c. Employer ID | | h. A | Alien Registration | | l. Vehicle Identifier | |
| d. Employee ID | | i. (| Credit Card | | m. Medical Record | |
| e. File/Case ID | | | | | | |
| n. Other identifying numbers (s | pecif | y): | | | | |
| *Explanation for the business n truncated form: | eedto | collec | et, maintain, or disseminate | e the S | ocial Security number, including | , |
| Compared Days I Data (CDD) | | | | | | |
| General Personal Data (GPD) a. Name | \boxtimes | h. D | ate of Birth | | o. Financial Information | |
| b. Maiden Name | | | lace of Birth | | p. Medical Information | |

| c. Alias | | j. Home Address | | q. Military Service | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|--|-------------|------------------------------------|----------|
| d. Gender | | k. Telephone Number | | r. Criminal Record | |
| e. Age | | l. Email Address | | s. Marital Status | |
| f. Race/Ethnicity | | m. Education | | t. Mother's Maiden Name | |
| g. Citizenship | | n. Religion | | | |
| u. Other general personal dat | ta (spec | eify): | • | | |
| | | | | | |
| Work-Related Data (WRD) | | | | | |
| a. Occupation | Ιп | e. Work Email Address | | i. Business Associates | |
| b. Job Title | | f. Salary | | j. Proprietary or Business | |
| | | | | Information | |
| c. WorkAddress | | g. Work History | | k. Procurement/contracting records | |
| d. Work Telephone | | h. Employment | | | |
| Number | | Performance Ratings or other Performance | | | |
| | | Information | | | |
| l. Other work-related data (s | specify |): | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Distinguishing Features/Bio | metric | s (DFR) | | | |
| a. Fingerprints | | f. Scars, Marks, Tattoos | | k. Signatures | |
| b. Palm Prints | | g. Hair Color | \vdash | Vascular Scans | \vdash |
| c. Voice/Audio Recording | | h. Eye Color | H | m. DNA Sample or Profile | H |
| d. Video Recording | | i. Height | H | n. Retina/Iris Scans | |
| e. Photographs | | j. Weight | H | o. Dental Profile | |
| p. Other distinguishing featu | ures/bio | * | | | |
| F | | (ap 1125). | | | |
| | | | | | |
| System Administration/Aud | | | | I ID E'I A 1 | |
| a. UserID | \boxtimes | c. Date/Time of Access | \boxtimes | e. ID Files Accessed | |
| b. IP Address | | f. Queries Run | | f. Contents of Files | |
| g. Other system administrat | ion/auc | lit data (specify): | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Other Information (specify) | | | | | |
| Specify | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2.2 Indicate sources of the | ne PII/ | BII in the system. (Check | all the | at apply.) | |
| | | | | | |
| | ut Wh | om the Information Pertains | | 0.1 | |
| In Person | | Hard Copy: Mail/Fax | | Online | |
| Telephone | | Email | | | |

| Other(specify): | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----|
| onici (specify). | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Government Sources | | | | | |
| Within the Bureau | \boxtimes | Other DOC Burea | ius | Other Federal Agencies | |
| State, Local, Tribal | | Foreign | | | |
| Other (specify): | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Non-government Sources | | | | | |
| Public Organizations | | Private Sector | | Commercial Data Brokers | |
| Third Party Website or App | lication | | | | |
| Other(specify): | | | ' | | |
| | | | | | |
| vetting and suitability s | d restric | ctions, and indivi- g. The USPTO m | duals with accomaintains an aud | ess privileges have undergo lit trail and performs randor s part of verifying the integ | n |
| 4 Is the information co | | | | ? | |
| Yes, the information Provide the OMB co | | | | llection. | |
| No, the information i | s not cov | ered by the Paperwo | ork Reduction Act | | |
| .5 Indicate the technologies used Contains | ll that a | pply.) | · | that have not been previous | ly |
| Caller-ID | | | | y Verification (PIV) Cards | |
| | | | 1 cisonaridenni | y vermeation (11v) cards | |
| Other(specify): | | | | | |

| heck all th |
|--------------|
| heck all th |
| heck all th |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| • |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| seminated. |
| seminated. |
| |
| grams [|
| grams [|
| grams [ves 5 |
| grams [ves [|
| |

Section 5: Use of the Information

5.1 In the context of functional areas (business processes, missions, operations, etc.) supported by the IT system, describe how the PII/BII that is collected, maintained, or disseminated will be used. Indicate if the PII/BII identified in Section 2.1 of this document is in reference to a federal employee/contractor, member of the public, foreign national, visitor or other (specify).

The information in this system is about USPTO employees and contractors and is used for administrative matters and to promote information sharing initiatives. By providing an enterprise-oriented data governance platform for data governance and stewardship, USPTO users are able to better analyze their data, improve business decisions, and allow for better collaboration between business units and the and IT departments.

The information collected is primarily used for administrative purposes. For example, Work Email Address is used for notification emails to notify users of any changes made to assets; IP Address and Date/Time of Access are used for logging and auditing purposes.

MDM to serve as a foundational tool in the effort to mature the data management practices under the Enterprise Data as an Asset initiative.

5.2 Describe any potential threats to privacy, such as insider threat, as a result of the bureau's/operating unit's use of the information, and controls that the bureau/operating unit has put into place to ensure that the information is handled, retained, and disposed appropriately. (For example: mandatory training for system users regarding appropriate handling of information, automatic purging of information in accordance with the retention schedule, etc.)

In the event of computer failure, insider threats, or attack against the system by adversarial or foreign entities, any potential PII data from USPTO employees or contractors stored within the system could be exposed, the USPTO has policies, procedures and training to ensure that employees are aware of their responsibility of protecting sensitive information and the negative impact on the agency if there is a loss, misuse, or unauthorized access to or modification of sensitive private information. Physical access to servers is restricted to only a few authorized individuals. USPTO requires annual security role based training and annual mandatory security awareness procedure training for all employees. The following are current USPTO policies; Information Security Foreign Travel Policy (OCIO-POL-6), IT Privacy Policy (OCIOPOL-18), IT Security Education Awareness Training Policy (OCIO-POL-19), Personally Identifiable Data Removal Policy (OCIO-POL-23), USPTO Rules of the Road (OCIO-POL- 36). All offices of the USPTO adhere to the USPTO Records Management Office's Comprehensive Records Schedule that describes the types of USPTO records and their corresponding disposition authority or citation.

Section 6: Information Sharing and Access

6.1 Indicate with whom the bureau intends to share the PII/BII in the IT system and how the PII/BII will be shared. (*Check all that apply.*)

| Paginiant | How Information will be Shared | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Recipient | Case-by-Case | Bulk Transfer | Direct Access | |
| Within the bureau | \boxtimes | | | |
| DOC bureaus | | | | |
| Federalagencies | | | | |
| State, local, tribal gov't agencies | | | | |

| Pub | lic | | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | ate sector | | | |
| | eign governments | | | |
| | eign entities | | | |
| Oth | er(specify): | | | |
| | | | | |
| | The PII/BII in the system will not be sh | ared. | | |
| 6.2 | Does the DOC bureau/operating ur shared with external agencies/entiti | _ | on on re-dissemina | tion of PII/BII |
| | Yes, the external agency/entity is required dissemination of PII/BII. | red to verify with the | e DOC bureau/operating | gunit before re- |
| | No, the external agency/entity is not recdissemination of PII/BII. | uired to verify with | the DOC bureau/operat | ting unit before re- |
| \boxtimes | No, the bureau/operating unit does not s | hare PII/BII with ex | ternal agencies/entities | |
| | , 1 | | | |
| \boxtimes | Yes, this IT system connects with or reconnects PII and/or BII. Provide the name of the IT system and of the IT sy | | | |
| | process PII and/or BII. Provide the name of the IT system and have been authorized to process PII and of the IDP • FPNG | describe the technic | al controls which preven | nt PII/BII leakage: |
| | process PII and/or BII. Provide the name of the IT system and of the IT system and of the IT system and have been authorized to process PII and CFS IDP | describe the technic application logs) from BII: Int PII/BII leakage in testems (IDS) and Internal USPTO fire in internal USPTO fire in internal Collibra - Collibra - Collibra at the dauthentication at the | clude encryption, antivrusion Prevention Systemintained via Active direwall is used as the bout the systems they access collibra Data Intelligence | irus and antimalwarem (IPS). Access to ectory, services are ndary protection deva. The Data within the Cloud (CDIC), ar |
| | process PII and/or BII. Provide the name of the IT system and of the IT system and the image of the it system and the image of the it system and have been authorized to process PII and one of the image of the imag | describe the technic application logs) from the PII/BII leakage in the stems (IDS) and Internal USPTO fire in internal USPTO fire in internal Collibra - C | clude encryption, antivrusion Prevention Systematics as the bounthe systems they access collibra Data Intelligence server levels. HTTPS | irus and antimalwarem (IPS). Access to ectory, services are ndary protection decentral control (CDIC), are is used for all data |
| | process PII and/or BII. Provide the name of the IT systemand of the IT systematical controls in place to preven firewalls, SIEM, Intrusion Detection Systematically partitioned via a DMZ and and that secures the communication betwee MDM is also secured via FedRAMP-au established controls to include passwort transmissions to and from the Internet and No, this IT system does not connect with | describe the technic application logs) from the PII/BII leakage in the stems (IDS) and Internal USPTO fire in internal USPTO fire in internal USPTO and authorized Collibra - Co | clude encryption, antivrusion Prevention Systematical is used as the bourthe systems they access collibra Data Intelligence server levels. HTTPS | irus and antimalwarem (IPS). Access to ectory, services are ndary protection devente. The Data within the Cloud (CDIC), are is used for all data extem(s) authorized |
| 6.4 Clas | process PII and/or BII. Provide the name of the IT system and the image of the im | describe the technic dapplication logs) from the PII/BII leakage in the stems (IDS) and Interest controls are mainternal USPTO fire in internet users and authorized Collibra - | clude encryption, antivrusion Prevention Systematical is used as the bourthe systems they access collibra Data Intelligence server levels. HTTPS | irus and antimalwarem (IPS). Access to ectory, services are ndary protection devente. The Data within the Cloud (CDIC), are is used for all data extem(s) authorized |

| | ntractors | | | | |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Oth | er(specify): | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ~ | | | | | |
| Se cti | on 7: Notice and Consent | | | | |
| 7.1 | Indicate whether individuals will be disseminated by the system. (Check | be notified if their PII/BII is collected, maintained, or ck all that apply.) | | | |
| \boxtimes | Yes, notice is provided pursuant to a system of records notice published in the Federal Reg discussed in Section 9. | | | | |
| \boxtimes | | | | | |
| \boxtimes | Yes, notice is provided by other means. | Specify how: See Appendix A | | | |
| | No, notice is not provided. | Specify why not: | | | |
| 7.2 | | als have an opportunity to decline to provide PII/BII. | | | |
| | Yes, individuals have an opportunity to decline to provide PII/BII. | Specify how: | | | |
| \boxtimes | No, individuals do not have an opportunity to decline to provide PII/BII. | Specify why not: Users of USPTO systems receive a warning banner notification regarding consent to be monitored by usin the system and therefore do not have the opportunity to declin to provide PII/BII. | | | |

7.3 Indicate whether and how individuals have an opportunity to consent to particular uses of their PII/BII.

| Yes, individuals have an opportunity to consent to particular uses of their PII/BII. | Specify how: |
|--|--|
| No, individuals do not have an opportunity to consent to particular uses of their PII/BII. | Specify why not: MDM data is used to provide users access to the system. Data is also collected via system monitoring in the form of audit logs. Because the data is used to allow users to access the system and the nature of how the audit data is collected, users do not have the opportunity to consent to particular uses of their PII/BII. |

7.4 Indicate whether and how individuals have an opportunity to review/update PII/BII pertaining to them.

| \boxtimes | Yes, individuals have an opportunity to | Specify how: USPTO employees and contractors have the |
|-------------|---|---|
| | review/update PII/BII pertaining to | opportunity to review/update their PII with office of Human |

| | them. | resources. |
|--|---|------------------|
| | No, individuals do not have an opportunity to review/update PII/BII pertaining to them. | Specify why not: |

Section 8: Administrative and Technological Controls

8.1 Indicate the administrative and technological controls for the system. (Check all that apply.)

| \boxtimes | All users signed a confidentiality agreement or non-disclosure agreement. |
|-------------|--|
| \boxtimes | All users are subject to a Code of Conduct that includes the requirement for confidentiality. |
| \boxtimes | Staff(employees and contractors) received training on privacy and confidentiality policies and practices. |
| \boxtimes | Access to the PII/BII is restricted to authorized personnel only. |
| \boxtimes | Access to the PII/BII is being monitored, tracked, or recorded. Explanation: Audit logs. |
| \boxtimes | The information is secured in accordance with the Federal Information Security Modernization Act (FISMA) requirements. Provide date of most recent Assessment and Authorization (A&A): |
| | This is a new system. The A&A date will be provided when the A&A package is approved. |
| | The Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 199 security impact category for this system is a moderate or higher. |
| \boxtimes | NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-122 and NIST SP 800-53 Revision 4 Appendix J recommended security controls for protecting PII/BII are in place and functioning as intended; or have an approved Plan of Action and Milestones (POA&M). |
| \boxtimes | A security assessment report has been reviewed for the information system and it has been determined that there are no additional privacy risks. |
| \boxtimes | Contractors that have access to the system are subject to information security provisions in their contracts required by DOC policy. |
| | Contracts with customers establish DOC ownership rights over data including PII/BII. |
| | Acceptance of liability for exposure of PII/BII is clearly defined in agreements with customers. |
| | Other(specify): |

8.2 Provide a general description of the technologies used to protect PII/BII on the IT system. (Include data encryption in transit and/or at rest, if applicable).

MDM is secured by the USPTO's infrastructure systems, FedRAMP-authorized Collibra - Collibra Data Intelligence Cloud (CDIC), and established controls to include password authentication at the server levels. HTTPS is used for all data transmissions to and from the Internet and PTOnet.

Management Controls:

The USPTO uses the Life Cycle review process to ensure that management controls are in place for the MDM. During the enhancement of any component, the security controls are reviewed, re-evaluated, and updated in the Security Plan. The Security Plans specifically address the management, operational, and technical controls that are in place, and planned during the operation of the enhanced system. Additional management controls include performing national agency check on all personnel, including contractor staff.

| _ | | | ~ | |
|-----|--------|------|-----|-------|
| Ope | ratio | nol(| ont | rola |
| ODG | ialio. | пагс | лн | IUIS. |

Operational controls include securing all hardware associated with this system in the USPTO Data Center. The Data Center is controlled by access card entry, and manned by a uniformed guard service to restrict access to the servers, their operation systems and databases. Backups are stored on tape and are secured off-site. Additional operation controls include: (1) Logical edit checks to ensure proper sequence of actions" (2) Physical terminal identification; (3) Database UserID; (4) restricted data display, as required; and (5) restricted access.

Technical Controls:

Technical controls include password authentication (UserID and passwords). At the client PCs', access is managed through a password authentication (UserID and passwords) based on certification in Access Request Management System (ARMS). Requests are approved first by the user's supervisor based on a justification of need.

| <u>Se cti</u> | <u>on 9</u> : Pr | ivacy Act |
|---------------|------------------|--|
| 9.1 | Is the P | II/BII searchable by a personal identifier (e.g, name or Social Security number)? |
| | \boxtimes | Yes, the PII/BII is searchable by a personal identifier. |
| | | No, the PII/BII is not searchable by a personal identifier. |
| 9.2 | § 552a. by an ex | whether a system of records is being created under the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. (A new system of records notice (SORN) is required if the system is not covered cisting SORN). Privacy Act of 1974, "the term 'system of records' means a group of any records under the control of any agency from which is retrieved by the name of the individual or by some identifying number, symbol, or other identifying particular assigned idual." |
| | Provide Comme | is system is covered by an existing system of records notice (SORN). e the SORN name, number, and link. (list all that apply): rce/Dept 18: Employees Personnel Files Not Covered by Notices of Other Agencies. rce/PAT-TM-17: USPTO Security Access Control and Certificate Systems. |
| | Yes, a S | SORN has been submitted to the Department for approval on (date). |
| | No, this | s system is not a system of records and a SORN is not applicable. |

Indicate whether these records are covered by an approved records control schedule and 10.1 monitored for compliance. (Check all that apply.)

| \boxtimes | There is an approved record control schedule. |
|-------------|--|
| | Provide the name of the record control schedule: |

| | GRS 3.1, item 010, Information techr GRS 3.1, item 011, Information techr GRS 3.1, item 012, Information techr programs and applications. GRS 3.1, Item 040, Information techr GRS 5.2, item 020, Intermediary reco GRS 6.3, item 010, Information Tech GRS 6.3, item 020, Enterprise archite No, there is not an approved record co | nology develo nology develo nology oversig ords. anology progra ecture records ontrolschedu | pment project records; System development project records; Special purport that and compliance records. In and capital investment planning records. | opment records. se computer ecords. |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| | Provide the stage in which the project | t is in develop | ing and submitting a records control | schedule: |
| Yes, retention is monitored for compliance to the schedule. | | | | |
| | No, retention is not monitored for compliance to the schedule. Provide explanation: | | | |
| | Indicate the disposal method of the posal | he PII/BII. | (Check all that apply.) | |
| Shr | edding | | Overwriting | |
| Deg | gaussing | | Deleting | \boxtimes |
| Oth | er(specify): | • | • | • |
| | | . 200_122 E | II Canfidantiality Impact I a | vol |
| | on 11: NIST Special Publication Indicate the potential impact that organization if PII were inappropriate Confidentiality Impact Level is not Federal Information Processing | could resul riately acce to the same Standards | t to the subject individuals and essed, used, or disclosed. (The and does not have to be the so (FIPS) 199 security impact cate | or the PII ame, as the egory.) |
| Se cti | on 11: NIST Special Publication Indicate the potential impact that organization if PII were inapproper Confidentiality Impact Level is not Federal Information Processing Low—the loss of confidentiality, interesting | could result riately accessor the same Standards (egrity, or avail | t to the subject individuals and essed, used, or disclosed. (The and does not have to be the security impact cate | or the PII ame, as the egory.) |
| Section 11.1 | Indicate the potential impact that organization if PII were inappropried to a superior of the | could result riately accept the same standards (egrity, or availty rintegrity, or ations, organizations, organi | t to the subject individuals and essed, used, or disclosed. (The and does not have to be the security) 199 security impact cate ability could be expected to have a line assets, or individuals. availability could be expected to have a line assets, or individuals. | or the PII ame, as the egory.) mited adverse |
| Section 11.1 | on 11: NIST Special Publication Indicate the potential impact that organization if PII were inappropried Confidentiality Impact Level is not Federal Information Processing Low—the loss of confidentiality, integrated on organizational operations, or Moderate—the loss of confidentiality | could result riately accepted the same standards (egrity, or availarganizationals), integrity, or ations, organizegrity, or availegrity, or availegrity, or availegrity, or availed the same standards (egrity, or availed the same standards). | t to the subject individuals and essed, used, or disclosed. (The and does not have to be the sa (FIPS) 199 security impact cata ability could be expected to have a lineassets, or individuals. availability could be expected to have a salability could b | /or the PII ame, as the egory.) mited adverse rea serious evere or |
| Section 11.1 | Indicate the potential impact that organization if PII were inapproper Confidentiality Impact Level is not Federal Information Processing Low—the loss of confidentiality, integrated on organizational operations, of Moderate—the loss of confidentiality adverse effect on organizational operations of this process of confidentiality, integrated on the loss of confidentiality integrated on the loss of confidentiality. Indicate which factors were used (Check all that apply.) | could result riately accept the same Standards (egrity, or availarganizational sylintegrity, or ations, organizational operation operation determination of the same sylintegrity, or availational operation of the same sylintegrity or availation of the same sylintegrity or availati | t to the subject individuals and essed, used, or disclosed. (The and does not have to be the security) 199 security impact cate ability could be expected to have a lineassets, or individuals. availability could be expected to have a security could be expected to have a | /or the PII ame, as the egory.) mited adverse rea serious evere or duals. impact level. |
| Section 11.1 | Indicate the potential impact that organization if PII were inapproper Confidentiality Impact Level is not Federal Information Processing Low—the loss of confidentiality, integrated on organizational operations, or Moderate—the loss of confidentiality adverse effect on organizational operations of this process of confidentiality and the loss of confidentiality, integrated on organization org | could result riately accept the same Standards (egrity, or availarganizational regrity, or ations, organizational operation determination of the same stational operation of the same station of t | t to the subject individuals and essed, used, or disclosed. (The and does not have to be the set (FIPS) 199 security impact cate ability could be expected to have a lineassets, or individuals. availability could be expected to have a sectional assets, or individuals. ability could be expected to have a sections, organizational assets, or individuals. ability could be expected to have a sections, organizational assets, or individuals. altitudes a section of the se | /or the PII ame, as the egory.) mited adverse rea serious evere or duals. impact level. |

| | | there is the potential for PII data to be included over time within the logs collected by the system. |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| \boxtimes | Data Field Sensitivity | Provide explanation: The combination of name, user ID and email address have a low impact on the date field sensitivity. |
| | Context of Use | Provide explanation: MDM data is account data that will be used by a select segment of internal users who will access the system. |
| \boxtimes | Obligation to Protect Confidentiality | Provide explanation: Based on the data collected, USPTO must protect the PII of each individual in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 which prohibits the disclosure of information from a system of records absent of the written consent of the subject individual. |
| \boxtimes | Access to and Location of PII | Provide explanation: Access Control Lists limits access to MDM to only a few approved authorized accounts. Authorized privileged users access the applications for administrative functions only, and authorized non-privileged users access some applications as required for their roles within their group. The servers storing the potential PII are located in a highly sensitive zone within the USPTO internal network and logical access is segregated with network firewalls and switches. |
| | Other: | Provide explanation: |

Section 12: Analysis

12.1 Identify and evaluate any potential threats to privacy that exist in light of the information collected or the sources from which the information is collected. Also, describe the choices that the bureau/operating unit made with regard to the type or quantity of information collected and the sources providing the information in order to prevent or mitigate threats to privacy. (For example: If a decision was made to collect less data, include a discussion of this decision; if it is necessary to obtain information from sources other than the individual, explain why.)

Access to MDM is very limited and controlled by the MDMPM team. IDM accounts must be created by Operations for new accounts requested by members of the MDMPM team. Data is protected in transit through TLS 1.2. Administrative access to the back-end on premise servers is limited to trusted individuals on the development team. Given the limited access under this category, the threat of PII leakage is very low but can be a potential threat to privacy. Access to the user interface is not exposed to the public internet and only accessed within the USPTO network.

USPTO has identified and evaluated potential threats to PII such as loss of confidentiality and integrity of information. Based upon USPTO's threat assessment policies, procedures, and training has been implemented to ensure that employees are aware of their responsibility to protect PII and to be aware of insider threats. Our employees are aware of the negative impact to the agency if there is a loss, misuse, or unauthorized access to or modification of PII.

12.2 Indicate whether the conduct of this PIA results in any required business process changes.

| | | Yes, the conduct of this PIA results in required business process changes. Explanation: |
|---|-------------|--|
| | \boxtimes | No, the conduct of this PIA does not result in any required business process changes. |
| 1 | 2.3 | Indicate whether the conduct of this PIA results in any required technology changes. |
| | | Yes, the conduct of this PIA results in required technology changes. Explanation: |
| | \boxtimes | No, the conduct of this PIA does not result in any required technology changes. |

APPENDIX A

You are accessing a U.S. Government information system, which includes: 1) this computer, 2) this computer network, 3) all Government-furnished computers connected to this network, and 4) all Government-furnished devices and storage media attached to this network or to a computer on this network. You understand and consent to the following: you may access this information system for authorized use only; unauthorized use of the system is prohibited and subject to criminal and civil penalties; you have no reasonable expectation of privacy regarding any communication or data transiting or stored on this information system at any time and for any lawful Government purpose, the Government may monitor, intercept, audit, and search and seize any communication or data transiting or stored on this information system; and any communications or data transiting or stored on this information system may be disclosed or used for any lawful Government purpose. This information system may contain Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI) that is subject to safeguarding or dissemination controls in accordance with law, regulation, or Government-wide policy. Accessing and using this system indicate your understanding of this warning.