EEOC FORM 715-01 PART A - D		U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT						
Department of Co	ommerce	For per	riod covering October 1,	2016	to September 30, 2017			
PART A Department	1. Agency		Department of Commerc	е				
or Agency Identifying	1.a. 2nd level reporting comp	onent						
Information	1.b. 3rd level reporting comp	onent						
	1.c. 4th level reporting compo	onent						
	2. Address		1400 Constitution Ave. N	IW.				
	3. City, State, Zip Code		Washington	District o	of Columbia 20230			
	4. Agency Code 5. FIPS co	ode(s)	1300					
PART B Total	1. Enter total number of perm	nanent fu	II-time and part-time emplo	oyees	43059			
Employment	2. Enter total number of temp	ter total number of temporary employees 4722						
	3. Enter total number employ	Enter total number employees paid from non-appropriated funds 0						
	4. TOTAL EMPLOYMENT [a	dd lines	B 1 through 3]		47781			

EEOC FORM 715-01 PART A - D			ual Employment Opportunity Commission FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT
Department of Co	mmerce	For per	riod covering October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017
PART C Agency Official(s)	1. Agency Head		Secretary of Commerce Wilbur L. Ross Jr.
Responsible For Oversight of EEO	2. Agency Head Designee		Acting Chief Financial Officer/Assistant Secretary for Administration Lisa Casias
Program(s)	3. EEO Director		Director, Office of Civil Rights Tinisha Agramonte
	4. Affirmative Employment Ma	anager	Director, Policy and Evaluation Division Stacy Carter
	5. Complaint Processing Man	ager	Director, Administration and Special Projects Division Kathryn Anderson
	6. Other EEO Staff		
	7. MD-715 Preparer		EEO Specialist Cristina Bartolomei
	8. Diversity and Inclusion Offi	cer	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Administration Lisa Casias
	9. Disability Special Emphasi: Program Manager	S	Disability Program Manager Monique Dismuke
	10. Hispanic Special Emphas Program Manager	iis	EEO Specialist Cristina Bartolomei
	11. Women's Special Emphas Program Manager	sis	EEO Specialist Cristina Bartolomei
	12. Anti-Harassment Program Manager	1	Labor and Employee Relations Officer Frank Milman
	13. Reasonable Accommoda Program Manager	tion	Disability Program Manager Monique Dismuke

EEOC FORM 715-01

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL

PART A - D **EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT** For period covering October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 **Department of Commerce PART D Subordinate Component and Location** CPDF and FIPS codes **List of Subordinate Components Covered in This** (City/State) Report National Oceanic and Atmospheric CM54 1323 Office of the Secretary, Washington/DC CM51 1300 Bureau of the Census, Suitland/MD CM63 1330 International Trade Administration, CM55 1350 National Institute for Standards and CM57 1341 Bureau of Industry and Security, CM67 1351 Economic and Statistics Administration, CM65 1314 Economic Development Administration, CM52 1325 CM59 Minority Business Development 1352 CM61 National Telecommunications and 1335 Bureau of Economic Analysis, CM53 1321 Patent and Trademark Office, CM56 1344 CM62 National Technical Information Service, 1346

EEOC FORM 715-01 PART E

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

Department of Commerce

For period covering October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PART E: Executive Summary

1.Introduction

The mission of the Department of Commerce (DOC) is to create the conditions for economic growth and opportunity. The DOC promotes job creation and economic growth by ensuring fair and reciprocal trade, providing the data necessary to support commerce and constitutional democracy, and fostering innovation by setting standards and conducting foundational research and development. Through our bureaus and 47,781 employees (as of September 2017) located in all 50 states, every U.S. territory, and more than 86 countries, we provide U.S.-based companies and entrepreneurs invaluable tools through programs such as the Decennial Census, the National Weather Service, NOAA Fisheries, and the Foreign Commercial Service. Among many other functions, the Department oversees ocean and coastal navigation, helps negotiate bilateral trade agreements, and enforces laws that ensure a level playing field for American businesses and workers.

This Department of Commerce Management Directive 715 annual Report and Plan were prepared in accordance with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) laws and authority governed under the auspices of Section 717 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1978, Executive Order 11748 and Section 501 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended. The Report and Plan demonstrates the agency's commitment to equal employment opportunity and pursuit towards a Model Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Program.

The Department's Office of Civil Rights (OCR) is the designated office responsible for implementing the agency's overall continuing affirmative employment program to promote equal employment opportunity and to identify and eliminate discriminatory practices and policies. Throughut the year, OCR collaborates with the bureau-level EEO and Civil Rights Offices, as well as key stakeholders, to review DOC's workforce data, policies, practices, and programs to identify and remove barriers to EEO while ensuring that each organization is free of discrimination, harassment, retaliation, or reprisal.

The DOC recognizes that continuous data analysis is key to identifying effective practices and and areas of opportunities. Throughout the year, the Department conducts analyses to devise data driven strategies and approaches to ensure equality of opportunity, as it strives to build a diverse, engaged, high-performing workforce and inclusive work environment to accomplish its mission.

2.DOC Workforce Summary Data

This section contains a summary of the workforce analyses conducted in accordance with the MD-715 instructions.

The overall civilian labor force (CLF) benchmarks referenced in this report consist of U.S. Citizens age 16 or older who are employed or seeking employment, and are not in the military or institutionalized. The occupational civilian labor force (OCLF) is a subset of the CLF and is occupation specific. The OCLF allows the DOC to more definitively identify potential barriers to EEO and provide key decision makers with relevant and useful information about the state of the agency.

The DOC's total workforce (permanent and temporary) included 47,781 employees as of September 30, FY 17; 43,059 full-time and part-time permanent employees and 4,722 temporary employees, according to Workforce Analytics.

The FY 2017 rates of participation in the workforce based on ethnicity, race, sex, and disability exhibited that DOC's largest group was Whites 64.22%, followed by: Blacks 17.16%, Asians 12.03%, Hispanics 5.11%, American Indians/Alaska Natives 0.83%, and Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islanders 0.18%. This includes 54.8% (26,183) males and 45.2% (21,598) females. In the overall DOC workforce, 86.97% (41,556) of the population have no disability, 3.76% (1,796) did not identify their disability status, and 11.7% (5,591) have a reportable disability. Of the 5,591 who have a disability, 1,162 have a targeted disability (2.43%).

To better illustrate the gap between the CLF and the workforce participation levels for groups, broken out by race, ethnicity, gender, and disability, Commerce uses the Parity Index. The Parity Index measures the percentage of representation of a particular group relative to their respective CLF. For example, if the CLF for group "A" is 10% and they represent 5% of Commerce's workforce, the Parity Index would illustrate that group A's workforce participation level is at 50% of the CLF. A percentage rate of 100 or more means that demographic group has reached or exceeded the expected level of representation relative to CLF.

When looking at the overall workforce. Hispanics and Females (aggregate) have the most notable lower than expected workforce participation levels in DOC's workforce. The <u>Parity Index reveals that Hispanic males are represented at 46% of their CLF; Hispanic females at 52%; and White females at 75% of their expected participation rates.</u>

For period covering October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017

3.DOC Focus Areas (Summary of Part I)

To better understand these "triggers," which are numerical disparities that may indicate a potential barrier to EEO, in addition to the workforce demographics snapshot discussed above, the DOC also reviewed and analyzed other data sets, including leadership data; recruitment, selection and retention data; awards and recognition data; complaints data; applicant flow data; and Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey (FEVS). The DOC recognizes that barrier analyses cannot rely solely on one data set to identify potential root causes for the triggers.

Based on more comprehensive analyses, the DOC identified the following three agency cross-cutting triggers that will be the Focus Areas that guide our affirmative employment program efforts and expanded barrier analyses efforts in FY 17 and beyond:

- Leadership participation of Individuals with Disabilities (IWD), Hispanics, and Women in grades GS-13 to SES
- The recruitment and retention of IWD, Hispanics, and Women
- · Numerical disparities in awards and recognition for women and certain racial/ethnic groups

Summary of "Triggers" revealed through Barrier Analyses that supports the Focus Areas--

1. Leadership Participation

The FY 17 participation rates for Females (aggregate), Hispanics, and IWDs in the leadership pipeline (GS-13 to GS-15) and Senior Executive Service (SES) positions are lower than expected, when compared against their respective levels of participation in DOC's workforce. Overall, Hispanic males and females have the most notable lower than expected participation at the higher levels; Hispanic males at the GS-15 and SES levels and Hispanic females at the GS-13 to SES levels.

- 1. Recruitment, Selection and Retention: In recent years, hiring and selection decisions have increased the incoming talent pool of women, racial and ethnic minorities, and individuals with disabilities. However, these groups continue to separate from the agency at rates higher than the agency's average separation rate, which adversely impacts the net gain for groups that are already at lower than participation rates. This is an area of concern that the DOC will delve into deeper with expanded barrier analyses, to include the implementation of retention surveys.
 - 1. Attrition: Hispanic males and females, Females (aggregate) and IWDs have higher separation rates than hiring rates.
- 2. Applicant Flow Data: As part of our barrier analysis, we analyzed applicant flow data of three mission critical occupation series (0301, 0343, and 2210) that are cross-cutting across the Department and not specific to any one bureau. Data for series 0301, 0343, and 2210, reveals that <u>Hispanics are applying and qualifying at expected rates, but they are not being selected at the rates they are found to be qualified for series 0301 and series 2210.</u> A more detailed analysis on Hispanic Employment can be found in our supporting documentation.
 - 1. Awards and Recognition:
- 1. FEVS: Females and IWDs disproportionately perceive unfairness across a number of employment practices, particularly in pay, awards, and the work environment.
- 2. Award Data: All females (aggregate), and notably Hispanic females, along with IWDs have moderate to significant numerical disparities in receiving cash awards the higher the cash amount, the more disparity is reflected. We note that this may be due to their lower than expected participation rates in higher grades, which could potentially yield higher award amounts.
- 1. Relevant Complaints Data: Of the 61 complaints that included a gender-based claim, 44 (75%) were filed by women. Of the 178 total complaints filed in FY 17, 59 included a claim based on a physical disability and 23 based on a mental disability.

status of corrective actions identified in FY 17 Plan (Part I) to Correct FY 16 Triggers

In the FY16 MD-715 Report, the DOC included a number of corrective plans in Part I to address triggers that were found in that year's analysis. These plans were to be implemented during FY17. Below is the status of planned corrective actions.

- Hire a data/management analyst to assist with barrier analysis efforts: A Management Analyst was hired in FY17. The Management Analyst has been crucial in the Department's barrier analysis efforts.
- Reconvene the Barrier Analysis Working Group (BAWG) to look at relevant data to identify barriers: The BAWG reconvened in FY17 and meets on a quarterly basis to address triggers/barriers across the DOC.
- Establish a Disability Program Committee: DOC established a Special Emphasis Program Committee that has begun its work planning special observances to celebrate the accomplishments of various affinity groups to include IWD. The intent is to

For period covering October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017

expand the group's duties and responsibilities to include addressing any potential barriers for the various EEO/special emphasis groups. DOC also has a Reasonable Accommodations Coordinator (RAC) group that meets monthly to address accommodation issues impacting the Department and provides training and support to the RACs.

- Issue a quarterly report showing agency progress in the hiring, advancement and retention of IWTDs: So far, these reports have been upon request and mainly geared to senior leadership. However, beginning in FY18, the Department will have an affirmative employment plan for all IWD, mandated by the EEOC, which will track this information and be reported annually.
- Conduct disability-related training and education campaigns for all DOC employees: This is already happening at certain bureaus. The goal is to ensure all DOC employees have access to the training.

4.DOC FY 17 Complaint Activity (excluding USPTO)

In FY17, 178 complaints were filed. The top 3 bases for complaints were: 1) Reprisal, 2) Disability (physical and mental), and 3) Age. The top 3 issues were: 1) Non-Sexual Harassment, 2) Performance Evaluation/Appraisal, and 3) Terms/Conditions of Employment.

Through the in-person EEO compliance training, OCR is educating the DOC workforce on their rights and responsibilities in EEO. The training serves to increase knowledge and assist in the prevention of reprisal, harassment, and discrimination.

The source for this information was the FY17 462 Report, which is included in our supporting documentation. 5.Essential Elements of Model Agency Title VII and Rehabilitation Act Programs

At the close of FY 17, the agency carefully performed a rigorous examination of DOC's current EEO program status and compared it against the essential elements of a model EEO Program. Additionally, DOC reviewed the program deficiencies and non-compliance areas the EEOC identified in its FY 17 technical assistance visit (TAV).

Of the approximate 122 compliance indicators listed in the Part G, Self-Assessment, the DOC met 120 (98%) of the indicators. Only two were not met. Two additional non-compliance indicators were noted during EEOC's TAV. Below is a summary of some notable strengths, opportunities, and corrective actions taken or that will be taken in FY 18: ESSENTIAL ELEMENT A: DEMONSTRATED COMMITMENT FROM AGENCY LEADERSHIP Some Notable Strengths:

- Secretary Wilbur L. Ross, Jr. was appointed as the 39th Secretary of Commerce on February 28, 2017. Secretary Ross issued the Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Policy statement on June 16, 2017, well within the 6-9-month EEOC prescribed timeframe set forth in Part G, Self-Assessment Checklist.
- DOC leadership continued its support of the EEO Council, a governance structure that enables the Department to effectively and efficiently carry out its responsibilities under various EEO statutes, Executive Orders, regulations, and policies. The Council is led by the Director of the Office of Civil Rights (OCR) and members include bureau EEO Officers, and ad hoc involvement of representatives from the Office of General Counsel (OGC), the Office of Human Resources (OHRM), and other relevant stakeholders. The Council elevates policy issues requiring action to the Departmental Management Council, which is comprised of the senior most leaders across the agency.
 - Leadership required the inclusion of an EEO/D&I performance element in the performance plans of all senior executives.
- The Acting Chief Financial Officer/Assistant Secretary for Administraton (CFO/ASA) made Civil Treatment (CT) Training mandatory. The goal of CT training is to promote and maintain a work environment that encourages fairness, consistency, and professionalism. Fourteen (14) Commerce employees from multiple bureaus were certified to serve as instructors to deliver enterprise-wide CT courses. One hundred and five (105) supervisors and 254 non-supervisors were trained in FY17. At the time this report was written, PTO and NIST have also launched the FY18 bureau-level CT training. EEOC recommended "Civility" training as an effectivive strategy for Harassment Prevention efforts.

Updated EEO Policy Statement--During EEOC's TAV, and in its follow-up report, EEOC stated Commerce did not have an updated EEO Policy statement; however, in accordance with the prescribed timeframe cited in MD 715 Part G, the agency head is required to issue an EEO Policy statement within 6-9 months after being installed and annually thereafter. The former Secretary issued the EEO Policy statement in May 2016. The new Secretary was installed Feb 28, 2017. To comply with the guidance, the policy signed by the new Secretary had to be issued no later than October 2017. It was signed and issued on June 16, 2017, well within the 6-9 month timeframe.

Essential Element B: Integration of EEO into the Agency's Strategic Mission Some Notable Strengths:

- The Office of Civil Rights has a designated budget for which the EEO Director has authority to execute. Within the OCR, adequate funding is provided for full-time Special Emphasis Program Managers (SEPMs) for the three statutory/regulatory SEPs.
- OCR established the Special Emphasis Program Committee (SEPC). In FY17, 22 Commerce employees were recruited to participate in a Headquarters' level SEPC. The employees received training on EEO, Affirmative Employment and Diversity & Inclusion principles in anticipation of their involvement in the execution of FY18 SEP events, including affirmative employment

For period covering October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017

education and training efforts. The committee members represent six bureaus from within the HQ building. Several other DOC bureaus have their own SEPCs and Employee Resource/Affinity Groups.

• The DOC hosted its second-annual EEO Summit at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office headquarters in Alexandra, VA. The Summit brought together over 90 DOC professionals in the EEO, Human Resources, and legal career fields. Participants received training and engaged in proactive discussions on subjects that directly impact the mission of the Department to foster a discrimination-free and inclusive work environment. Attendees left the Summit with a renewed sense of unity and common purpose to work together in making the DOC a model EEO employer.

Opportunities:

EEOC, during its TAV, identified two deficiencies under this element. They were addressed as follows:

- Improper reporting structure of the EEO Office: EEOC noted that the OCR Director does not report to the agency head, which is inconsistent with EEOC's regulation at 29 C.F.R.§1614.102(b)(4). As a pragmatic matter, the OCR Director reports to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Administration (DASA) to ensure that she/he has daily and immediate access to a senior leader who can engage swiftly to address and resolve day-to-day administrative and programmatic needs. However, the OCR Director is able to act with the greatest degree of independence and has unfettered access to report matters that should be elevated to Secretary of Commerce. To underscore the importance of equal employment opportunity to the mission of the DOC and to ensure that the EEO Director's independence and authority are formally recognized, the Department Organization Order 20-10, which prescribes the functions and organization of the Office of Civil Rights, will be revised to show the OCR Director has a dotted reporting line to the Secretary of Commerce.
- Incomplete applicant flow data in workforce data tables: DOC identified and corrected the technical issues that prevented it from reporting complete applicant flow data in its FY16 workforce data tables. Accordingly, for FY17, DOC analyzed and uploaded complete applicant flow data in its workforce data tables.

Essential Element C: Management and Program Accountability Strengths:

- The Director of the Office of Human Resources Management (OHRM) and the Director of OCR regularly collaborate on personnel programs, policies, and procedures to ensure conformity with instructions contained in the EEOC management directives and management personnel actions.
- Consistent with the Notification and Federal Employee Antidiscrimination and Retaliation Act of 2002 (No FEAR Act), OCR informs new employees of their rights and remedies under antidiscrimination laws and whistleblower protection laws within 90 days of their appointment. OCR, OHRM, and the Office of General Counsel (OGC) provide employees with training on EEO-related topics through interactive sessions, lectures, and seminars. OCR also posts EEO data pursuant to the No FEAR Act on its website on a quarterly basis.

Essential Element D: Proactive Prevention of Unlawful Discrimination Strengths:

- The Department publishes EEO policies covering harassment prevention, reasonable accommodation, information on the EEO complaint process, and the EEO roles and responsibilities of employees on its OCR website. Flyers and posters are also placed in high-traffic areas throughout the Department and its bureaus' buildings and offices to provide employees and applicants for employment notices about their EEO rights.
- The Department remains committed to the early resolution of all workplace disputes and encourages parties in conflict to utilize Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) to resolve EEO concerns. Currently, ADR is offered to all employees entering the EEO complaint process. Short-term, temporary employees (including Decennial hires), applicants for employment and former employees are generally not offered ADR.
- The DOC conducted Site Visits (also known as technical assistance visits) at the U.S. Census Bureau (Census) and the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) EEO Offices to increase awareness of bureau EEO programs/initiatives and to assess their barrier analysis efforts. The visits resulted in significant knowledge-sharing and the identification of some best practices that the OCR is considering adopting Department-wide.
- OCR continued its quarterly Barrier Analysis Workgroup (BAWG) meetings to conduct holistic and in-depth analysis of Commerce's workforce profiles to identify cross-cutting triggers affecting all bureaus. This collaboration with EEO, HR, and OGC representatives from major bureaus has been effective in providing the following: enhanced communication between the Department and the bureau EEO and HR offices; productive knowledge-sharing, particularly with recruitment and retention efforts; reconciliation of data discrepancies derived from the use of two different HR data warehouses; accessibility to crucial data sets; and performance and accountability reporting, with focus on the MD-715 report.
- In FY17, the DOC/OCR entered into an Interagency Agreement with the Census Bureau to leverage the expertise of its social and data scientists and researchers to assess any impacts that family socioeconomic status may have on equality of opportunity in the workplace. The First Generation Professionals (FGP) Leadership Initiative was inspired by research that shows socioeconomic family status has an impact on education attainment, potential wage earnings, and social "fit" for individuals who are the first in their immediate families to obtain professional positions in office settings. OCR is attempting to determine if the intersection of "lower socio-economic" family status with protected EEO bases creates additional barriers to EEO in the workplace.

Opportunties:

During EEOC's TAV, the following deficiency under this element was noted:

For period covering October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017

Anti-Harassment Policy does not include genetic information as a covered basis: Commerce will draft and issue a standalone Anti-Harassment Policy on the same schedule as our annual EEO Policy Statement, in June 2018. The Policy Statements will include genetic information as a covered basis. Additionally, the DAO 202-955, DOC's Anti-Harassment Procedures, will also be updated in 2018 to include GINA as a covered basis.

Part G of this report includes the following deficiency:

ADR is not mandatory for supervisors/managers: ADR is currently voluntary for supervisors/managers because our ADR analysis shows the challenge rests with the individual's election, rather than in managerial support/participation in ADR. Therefore, the Department has not made the policy decision to make ADR mandatory for managers. If future trend analysis points to managers' unwillingness to participate, after an employee elects ADR, as a barrier for resolution at the lowest level, we will review our policy decision.

In FY 2017, of the 66 instances where ADR was elected by the Complainant (55 in the pre-complaint and 11 in the formal process), there were 9 instances of the agency declining: 6 in NOAA; 2 in ITA; and 1 in MBDA. Therefore, the agency agreed to conduct ADR when elected by the Complainant 86% of the time.

Essential Element E: Efficiency

Strengths:

The Department promotes and utilizes an efficient and fair dispute process and has a system in place for evaluating the impact and effectiveness of the Department's EEO complaint processing program. Through iComplaints, DOC has an effective complaint tracking and monitoring system to increase the effectiveness of the complaint process.

Below is a summary of FY 2017 complaint data for the Department (excluding the USPTO):

- DOC conducted 89% (434) of the pre-complaint counselings in a timely manner in FY 2017.
- 100% of the investigations were timely completed, with an average processing time of 178 days.
- 99% of final agency decisions (FADs) were timely, with an average processing time of 27 days.
- DOC's average processing time for all complaint closures was 278 days. "Closed" is calculated on the 462 report and starts from the date the complaint is filed to the date the complaint is closed either by decision, settlement or withdrawal.

Essential Element F: Responsiveness and Legal Compliance Strengths:

- DOC has always strived for full compliance with EEO statutes and EEOC regulations, policy guidance, and other written instructions issued by EEOC.
- DOC ensures that personnel are accountable for timely compliance with orders issued by EEOC Administrative Judges. Commerce employs a system of management controls to ensure timely completion of orders and corrective actions, and the submission of its compliance report(s) to EEOC within 30 days. The DOC also maintains full compliance with Title VII and the Rehabilitation Act, including EEOC regulations, orders; and responds to other written instructions and reports, requests for program efforts and accomplishments as required.

Summary of Accomplishments for Individuals with Disabilities and Targeted Disabilities

In FY17, in accordance with EEOC mandate and new implementing instructions for the Rehab Act of 1973, as amended, the Department developed procedures for providing Personal Assistance Services (PAS) for employees with certain targeted disabilities who need them for activities of daily living, i.e. eating, using the bathroom, dressing. The provision of PAS is a part of the Department's affirmative action requirements for IWD and must be provided in addition to reasonable accommodations. In FY17, the Department collaborated with the Census Bureau to replicate and deploy their automated reasonable accommodation tracking system an an Enterprise-wide tracking system, for all bureaus within DOC, except for PTO, which has its own tracking system. The Commerce Accommodation Tracking System (CATS), which was designed and launched without any additional costs to the agency, is designed to ensure consistency and legal compliance in the processing of reasonable accommodation requests. This automated tracking and reporting tool makes requesting a reasonable accommodation easier for employees and helps improve the quality and timeliness of responses/decisions.

In FY17, workforce data for IWD and Individuals with Targeted Disabilities (IWTD) changed significantly due to updates on the IWD/IWTD classification codes in the SF-256. The Department meets and/or exceeds the federal benchmarks for IWDs (12%) and IWTDs (2%) with 13.03% and 2.43% participation rates respectively.

Per EEOC guidance, the IWD percentage rates includes data from the SF-256 "01" code or "I do not wish to identify my disability or serious health condition," which is interpreted as the person having a disability that they do not wish to identify. 6.DOC Additional Accomplishments Listed by Bureau

The DOC has thirteen (13) bureaus, five (5) of which have more than 1,000 employees and conduct their own MD-715 report and self-assessments. These are:

- U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO)
- U.S. Census Bureau (Census)
- U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)
- U.S. International Trade Administration (ITA)
- U.S. National Institutes of Standards and Technology (NIST)

For period covering October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017

Below are major highlights from some of the bureaus. For detailed information on bureau accomplishments, see their individual MD-715 reports.

- The USPTO's Office of Equal Employment opportunity and Diversity (OEEOD) presented the 20th annual USPTO Community Day celebrating the Agency's diversity. Each year this event supports the USPTO's ongoing affirmative program to promote equal employment opportunity and proclaims the Agency's commitment to a workforce that reflects all segments of society. The festivities featured an emphasis on the Agency's Affinity Groups, entertainment by USPTO employees and special guests, and exhibits from USPTO offices and organizations.
- The USPTO's OEEOD works with the USPTO's network of sixteen Affinity Groups (voluntary employee organizations that are based on a shared common background or special interest) to support their diverse workforce. The Agency's commitment to working with these groups is in their strategic plan. The Affinity Groups host cultural, social, and career development events and programs for their members and the greater USPTO community.
- The NOAA Civil Rights Office (CRO) was realigned to report directly to the Deputy Under Secretary for Operations and the CRO Director regularly attends senior staff meetings, including weekly Tag-ups and NOAA Executive Panel meetings.
- NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) established a partnership with the Cornerstone Montgomery Vocational Services, an organization designed to increase independent living for persons with disabilities, resulting in the placement of two (2) trainees.
- NOAA's Line Office EEO & Diversity staff provided a number of trainings for NOAA staff, including: EEO, Emotional Intelligence, Unconscious Bias, Team Building, Coaching, Effective Listening, Understanding Cultural Differences, Generational Differences, Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) and Reasonable Accommodations.
- NOAA's Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR) continues to work with laboratory and program offices to coordinate outreach and recruitment activities targeting underrepresented groups within the sciences at national/local conferences, including the American Indian Higher Education Consortium and the American Indian Science and Engineering Society Conference.
- At the Census Bureau, in FY 17, EEO staff trained approximately 400 out of 1400 managers/supervisors, as well as 125 non-supervisors. Courses conducted included: EEO for Employees, EEO for Managers, Sexual Harassment Prevention for Managers, and Sexual Harassment Prevention for Non-Managers.
- The NIST Civil Rights and Diversity Office sponsored the following training for staff and management: "Effective Communication" by Dottie Li, "Developing Soft Skills & Resume, Cover Letter and Interviewing" by CRDO Deputy Director, and "Civil Treatment for Leaders" by Employment Learning Innovations (ELI).
- The NIST Civil Rights and Diversity Deputy Director were certified to teach "Civil Treatment for Employees and Leaders." The course will be offered to all NIST staff in FY18. These programs were offered at no cost to staff to encourage participation.

7.Conclusion

As we strive to achieve a Model EEO Program, the DOC is committed to identifying and removing any barriers that impede equal opportunity in our recruitment, hiring, promotion, retention, and professional development and training. We will continue using data driven strategies to advance diversity and inclusion, with a special emphasis on the three Focus Areas described in this document. Additionally, throughout the year, we will continuously monitor and report progress on those EEO action plans (Parts H, I, and J) that cut across all DOC Bureaus.

EEOC FORM 715-01 PART F		U.S. Equal Employment Opportun FEDERAL AGENCY AN EEO PROGRAM STATUS I	NÚAL		
Department of Com	merce	For period covering October 1,	2016	to September 30,	2017

CERTIFICATION of ESTABLISHMENT of CONTINUING EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY PROGRAMS

I,	Director, Office of C	Civil Rights Tin	isha Agramonte	am the
	(Insert name abo	ove)	(Insert official title/series/grade above)	
Principal EEO Director/0	Official for	Department of	of Commerce	
		(Insert Agenc	cy/Component Name above)	
as prescribed by EEO N	/ID-715. If an essenti ed and, as appropria	al element wa: te, EEO Plans	Section 717 and Section 501 prograr s not fully compliant with the standar for Attaining the Essential Elements tatus Report.	ds of EEO MD-715, a further
or personnel policy, pro	cedure or practice is	operating to d	conducted barrier analyses aimed at isadvantage any group based on rac propriate, are included with this Fed	ce, national origin, gender or
I certify that proper doc	umentation of this as	sessment is in	place and is being maintained for E	EOC review upon request.
Signature of Agency He	ead or Agency Head	Designee	_	Date
Signature of Principal E Certifies that this Feder EEO MD-715.		O Program St	atus Report is in compliance with	Date

EEOC FORM 715-01 **PART G**

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL **EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT**

Essential Element A: DEMONSTRATED COMMITMENT FROM AGENCY LEADERSHIP
Requires the agency head to issue written policy statements ensuring a workplace free of discriminatory harassment and

Requires the agency no	Requires the agency head to issue written policy statements ensuring a workplace free of discriminatory harassment and a commitment to equal employment opportunity.							
Department of Comme	erce	For period covering October 1,	2016	to Se	ptemb	er 30, 2017		
Compliance Indicator			Measu	ire has met	s been	measures, provide a brief explanation in		
Measures	EEO policy st	Yes	No	N/A	the space below or complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report			
The Agency Head was issued on 06/16/2 of the installation of the	2018 Was the EEO po	8 The EEO policy statement was blicy statement issued within 6-9	х			All Commerce bureaus reported that they met this measure except the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). See NIST's MD-715 Report.		
During the current Agency Head's tenure, has the EEO policy Statement been reissued annually? If no, provide an explanation.			Х			All Commerce bureaus reported that they met this measure except the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). See NIST's MD-715 Report.		
Are new employees pro	vided a copy of the EEO	policy statement during orientation?	Χ					
When an employee is pof the EEO policy state		sory ranks, is s/he provided a copy	Х					
Compliance Indicator			Measu	ire has met	s been	For all unmet measures, provide a brief explanation in the space below or		
Measures		s have been communicated to all employees.	Yes	No	N/A	complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report		
Have the heads of subordinate reporting components communicated support of all agency EEO policies through the ranks?								
Has the agency made written materials available to all employees and applicants, informing them of the variety of EEO programs and administrative and judicial remedial procedures available to them?								
		materials in all personnel offices, e? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(b)(5)]	Х					

Department of Commo	erce	For period covering October 1,	2016	to Se	ptemb	er 30, 2017	
Compliance Indicator			Meası	re has met	s been	For all unmet measures, provide a brief explanation in the space below or	
Measures		s vigorously enforced by agency nanagement.	Yes	Yes No N/A		complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report	
	ervisors evaluated on the including their efforts to:	ir commitment to agency EEO	Х				
resolve problems/di environments as the		onflicts in their respective work	Х				
		raised by employees and tor eliminate tension in the	Х				
participate in comm		allocation of mission personnel to itment programs with private	X				
	ion of employees under h O Counselors, EEO Inves	is/her supervision with EEO office stigators, etc.?	Х				
ensure a workplace retaliation?	that is free from all forms	of discrimination, harassment and	Х				
and interpersonal sl	kills in order to supervise r	ective managerial, communication most effectively in a workplace with g from ineffective communications?	X				
	n of requested religious ac o not cause an undue hard	ccommodations when such dship?	х				
		ccommodations to qualified modations do not cause an undue	х				
workplace and that this	behavior may result in dis	ehaviors are inappropriate in the sciplinary actions? Describe what s workforce about the penalties for	. x				
been made readily ava	ilable/accessible to all em ntation of new employees	ation for individuals with disabilities iployees by disseminating such and by making such procedures	Х				
Have managers and su procedures for reasona		their responsibilities under the	Х				

Essential Element B: INTEGRATION OF EEO INTO THE AGENCY'S STRATEGIC MISSION Requires that the agency's EEO programs be organized and structured to maintain a workplace that is free from discrimination in any of the agency's policies, procedures or practices and supports the agency's strategic mission.

Department of Commo	erce	For period covering Octobe	er 1,	2016	to Se	ptemb	er 30, 2017	
Compliance Indicator		re for the EEO Program prov	ides	Measure ha		s been	For all unmet measures, provide a brief explanation in the space below or	
Measures		pal EEO Official with appropriate authority and ses to effectively carry out a successful EEO Program. Yes			No	N/A	complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report	
§1614.102(b)(4)] For su Director/Officer under the	ubordinate level reporting he immediate supervision	of the agency head? [see 29 C components, is the EEO of the lower level component's EO Officer report to the Region	3		Х		No, for the Department of Commerce and some bureaus. Corrective plans are addressed in Part H.	
Are the duties and resp	onsibilities of EEO official	s clearly defined?		Х				
Do the EEO officials had and responsibilities of t		and abilities to carry out the d	duties	Х				
	evel reporting components eporting structure for EEC	s, are there organizational char programs?	rts	Х				
		s, does the agency-wide EEO hin the subordinate reporting		Х				
If not, please descri reporting componer		hority is delegated to subordina	ate	Х				
Compliance Indicator	responsible for EEO pro	nd other EEO professional st ograms have regular and effo	aff ective		ire has met	s been	For all unmet measures, provide a brief explanation in the space below or	
Measures	management officials of are involved	g the agency head and senio of the status of EEO program d in, and consulted on, ent/personnel actions.		Yes	No	N/A	complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report	
agency head and other		d effective means of informing of the effectiveness, efficiency		×			All Commerce bureaus reported that they met this measure except the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). See NIST's MD-715 Report.	
Director/Officer present "State of the Agency" b an assessment of the p Model EEO Program ar	to the head of the agency riefing covering all compo erformance of the agency and a report on the progres	ceding FORM 715-01, did the E y and other senior officials the nents of the EEO report, include in each of the six elements of s of the agency in completing it and/or eliminated or reduced the second secon	ding the its	Х				

Department of Commo	erce	For period covering October 1,	2016	to Se	ptemb	per 30, 2017
		y deliberations prior to decisions tions, succession planning,	Х			
	cted prior to making huma	o of employees or applicants might n resource decisions such as	Х			
regular intervals to a realization of equali			х			
		ategic planning, especially the sion planning, training, etc., to	Х			
Compliance Indicator			Meası	ure has met	s been	brief explanation in
Measures	and budget allocation	nitted sufficient human resources as to its EEO programs to ensure essful operation.	Yes	No	N/A	the space below or complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report
agency EEO action pla		unding to ensure implementation of am efficiency and/or eliminate opportunity?	Х			
agency self-assessmer	nts and self-analyses pres	ne EEO Program to ensure that scribed by EEO MD-715 are complaint processing system?	Х			
Are statutory/regulatory	y EEO related Special Em	nphasis Programs sufficiently	х			All Commerce bureaus reported that they met this measure except the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). See NIST's MD-715 Report.
Federal Women's Program - 5 U.S.C. 7201; 38 U.S.C. 4214; Title 5 CFR, Subpart B, 720.204						All Commerce bureaus reported that they met this measure except the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). See NIST's MD-715 Report.
Hispanic Employme	ent Program - Title 5 CFR	, Subpart B, 720.204	Х			
Individuals With Dis	abilities - Section 501 of t	Selective Placement Program for the Rehabilitation Act; Title 5 U.S.C. CFR 213.3102(t) and (u); 5 CFR	Х			

coordination and compli	al emphasis programs mo					er 30, 2017
	iance with EEO guideline mployment Programs; an	onitored by the EEO Office for s and principles, such as FEORP - d Black/African American; Pacific Islander programs?	Х			
Compliance Indicator			Meası	ıre has met	s been	measures, provide a brief explanation in
Measures		litted sufficient budget to support s of its EEO Programs.	Yes	No	N/A	the space below or complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report
		cy to conduct a thorough barrier of adequate data collection and	Х			
programs, including the	complaint processing pro	ees to utilize, when desired, all EEO ogram and ADR, and to make a ng subordinate level reporting	Х			
		stribution of EEO materials (e.g. accommodations procedures, etc.)?	Х			
	or other mechanism for fur rovide disability accomm	nding supplies, equipment and odations?	х			All Commerce bureaus reported that they met this measure except the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). See NIST's MD-715 Report.
Does the agency fund n Uniform Federal Access		to ensure timely compliance with	Х			
		es to train all employees on EEO emedial procedures available to	Х			
	nding to ensure the prom EO offices? [see 29 C.F.F	inent posting of written materials in R. § 1614.102(b)(5)]	Х			
Is there sufficient fur training and informa		mployees have access to this	Х			
	ng to provide all managers eir EEO responsibilities:	s and supervisors with training and				
for ensuring a workpharassment and reta		forms of discrimination, including	Х			
to provide religious	accommodations?		Х			
to provide disability procedures?	accommodations in acco	rdance with the agency's written	Х			

Department of Commerce	For period covering October 1,	2016	to September 30,		2017	
in the EEO discrimination complaint process?)	Х				
to participate in ADR?		Х				

Essential Element C: MANAGEMENT AND PROGRAM ACCOUNTABILITY
This element requires the Agency Head to hold all managers, supervisors, and EEO Officials responsible for the effective implementation of the agency's EEO Program and Plan.

implementation of the agency's EEO Program and Plan.							
Department of Comme	erce	For period covering October 1,	2016	to Se	ptemb	er 30, 2017	
Compliance Indicator		advise and provide appropriate	Measure has been met			For all unmet measures, provide a brief explanation in the space below or	
Measures	EEO programs within	essistance to managers/supervisors about the status of EEO programs within each manager's or supervisor's area or responsibility.			N/A	complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report	
	arterly/semi-annually) EE ry officials by EEO progra		Х				
Plans with all appropria		ment and implementation of EEO clude Agency Counsel, Human ation Officer?	Х				
Compliance Indicator		s Director and the EEO Director	Meası	ure has met	s been	For all unmet measures, provide a brief explanation in the space below or	
Measures	policies, and proce instructions contained	meet regularly to assess whether personnel programs, policies, and procedures are in conformity with nstructions contained in EEOC management directives. [see 29 CFR § 1614.102(b)(3)]		No	N/A	complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report	
Promotion Program Pol		for the agency to review its Merit stemic barriers that may be ies by all groups?	х				
Employee Recognition		for the agency to review its cedures for systemic barriers that by all groups?	Х				
Employee Developmen		for the agency to review its stemic barriers that may be by all groups?	Х				
Compliance Indicator			Measure has beer met			measures, provide a brief explanation in	
Measures	When findings of discrimination are made, the agency explores whether or not disciplinary actions should be taken.		Yes	No	N/A	the space below or complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report	
	a disciplinary policy and/o ve committed discrimination	r a table of penalties that covers n?	Х				

Department of Commerce	For period covering October 1,	2016	to Se	ptemb	er 30, 2017
Have all employees, supervisors, and managers for being found to perpetrate discriminatory beha based upon a prohibited basis?		х			All Commerce bureaus reported that they met this measure except the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). See NIST and NOAA's respective MD-715 Reports.
Has the agency, when appropriate, disciplined or or employees found to have discriminated over the		X			There was one finding in FY16. The EEOC AJ did not order the Agency to consider taking disciplinary action. All Commerce bureaus reported that they met this measure except the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). See NOAA's MD-715 Report.
If so, cite number found to have discriminated	and list penalty /disciplinary action				
Does the agency promptly (within the established Merit Systems Protection Board, Federal Labor Farbitrators, and District Court orders?	d time frame) comply with EEOC, Relations Authority, labor	Х			
Does the agency review disability accommodatio compliance with its written procedures and analy trends, problems, etc.??		Х			

Essential Element D: PROACTIVE PREVENTION

Requires that the agency head makes early efforts to prevent discriminatory actions and eliminate barriers to equal employment opportunity in the workplace.

employment opportunity in the workplace.							
Department of Commo	ment of Commerce For period covering October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017						
Compliance Indicator	Measure has been met brief exp						
Measures		d remove unnecessary barriers to inducted throughout the year.	Yes	No	N/A	complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report	
	identification of barriers t	O Director and/or other EEO hat may be impeding the	Х				
		develop and implement, with the O Action Plans to eliminate said	Х				
	ccessfully implement EEC tives into agency strategio	Action Plans and incorporate the plans?	Х				
Are trend analyses of w disability?	orkforce profiles conducte	ed by race, national origin, sex and	Х				
Are trend analyses of the national origin, sex and		pations conducted by race,	Х				
Are trends analyses of national origin, sex and		el distribution conducted by race,	Х				
Are trend analyses of the by race, national origin,		ion and reward system conducted	Х				
	ne effects of management d by race, national origin,	personnel policies, procedures sex and disability?	Х				
Compliance Indicator						For all unmet measures, provide a brief explanation in the space below or	
Measures		ve Dispute Resolution (ADR) is by senior management.	Yes No		N/A	complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report	
Are all employees encouraged to use ADR?							
Is the participation of supervisors and managers in the ADR process required?				X		All Commerce bureaus reported that they met this measure except the Office of the Secretary (OS) and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).	

Department of Commerce	For period covering October 1,	2016	to September 30,	2017
------------------------	--------------------------------	------	------------------	------

Essential Element E: EFFICIENCY

Requires that the agency head ensure that there are effective systems in place for evaluating the impact and effectiveness of the agency's EEO Programs as well as an efficient and fair dispute resolution process.

Department of Commerce

For period covering October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017

Department of Comme	erce	For period covering October 1,	2016	to Se	ptemb	per 30, 2017
Compliance Indicator			Measure has beer met			For all unmet measures, provide a brief explanation in the space below or
Measures		nt staffing, funding, and authority ination of identified barriers.	Yes	No	N/A	complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report
	nploy personnel with adec quired by MD-715 and the	quate training and experience to ese instructions?	Х			
		collection and analysis systems that -715 and these instructions?	Х			
	lel EEO program and elim	uct effective audits of field facilities' ninate discrimination under Title VII	Х			
	equests for disability acco	chanism in place to coordinate or mmodations in all major	Х			
	ation requests processed values for reasonable accommod	within the time frame set forth in dation?	Х			
Compliance Indicator	The agency has an a	Measure has been met			For all unmet measures, provide a brief explanation in the space below or	
Measures	monitoring system in p	The agency has an effective complaint tracking and nonitoring system in place to increase the effectiveness of the agency's EEO Programs.				complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report
identification of the loca		onitoring system that allows ints and length of time elapsed at process?	Х			
the aggrieved individual		sues and bases of the complaints, yed management officials and d trends?	Х			
Does the agency hold contractors accountable for delay in counseling and investigation processing times?						
If yes, briefly describe how:						
Does the agency monitor and ensure that new investigators, counselors, including contract and collateral duty investigators, receive the 32 hours of training required in accordance with EEO Management Directive MD-110?						
contract and collateral duty	and ensure that experienced vinvestigators, receive the 8 unce with EEO Management	counselors, investigators, including hours of refresher training required on Directive MD-110?	Х			
Compliance Indicator			Meası	ure has met	s been	

Department of Commo	erce	For period covering October 1,	2016	to Se	ptemb	er 30, 2017	
		ent staffing, funding and authority				For all unmet measures, provide a brief explanation in the space below or	
Measures	EEOC (29 C.F.R. Part	ne frames in accordance with the 1614) regulations for processing femployment discrimination.	Yes	No	N/A	complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report	
Are benchmarks in place processes with 29 C.F.I		cy's discrimination complaint	Х				
Does the agency provide timely EEO counseling within 30 days of the initial request or within an agreed upon extension in writing, up to 60 days?						All Commerce bureaus reported that they met this measure except the International Trade Administration (ITA) and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). See ITA and NOAA's MD-715 Reports.	
	ovide an aggrieved perso pilities in the EEO process	n with written notification of his/her in a timely fashion?	Х				
Does the agency co	emplete the investigations	within the applicable prescribed	Х				
	nt requests a final agency 60 days of the request?	decision, does the agency issue	Х				
	st from the EEOC AJ forw	s the agency immediately upon and the investigative file to the	Х				
	agreement is entered into rided for in such agreeme	, does the agency timely complete nts?	Х				
Does the agency ensure timely compliance with EEOC AJ decisions which are not the subject of an appeal by the agency?							
Compliance Indicator	ce Indicator There is an efficient and fair dispute resolution proc			ure has met	s been	For all unmet measures, provide a brief explanation in the space below or	
Measures		and effective systems for evaluating the impact and ffectiveness of the agency's EEO complaint processing program.			N/A	complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report	
		the agency established an ADR nplaint stages of the EEO process?	Х				

Department of Commo	erce	For period covering October 1,	2016	to Se	ptemb	er 30, 2017
accordance with EEOC	e all managers and super (29 C.F.R. Part 1614) reg terest in encouraging mut n utilizing ADR?		Х		All Commerce bureaus reported that they met this measure except the Office of the Secretary (OS) and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).	
After the agency has offered ADR and the complainant has elected to participate in ADR, are the managers required to participate?						All Commerce bureaus reported that they met this measure except the Office of the Secretary (OS) and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).
Does the agency ensure	e that the responsible mar	nagement official directly involved	Х			All Commerce
in the dispute does not	have settlement authority'	?	^			bureaus reported that they met this measure except the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). See NOAA's MD-715 Report.
Compliance Indicator	The agency has e	The agency has effective systems in place for naintaining and evaluating the impact and effectiveness of its EEO programs.		ure has met	s been	For all unmet measures, provide a brief explanation in the space below or
Measures	maintaining and evalua			No	N/A	complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report
Does the agency have a system of management controls in place to ensure the timely, accurate, complete and consistent reporting of EEO complaint data to the						
Does the agency provide reasonable resources for the EEO complaint process to ensure efficient and successful operation in accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 1614.102						
Does the agency EEO office have management controls in place to monitor and ensure that the data received from Human Resources is accurate, timely received, and contains all the required data elements for submitting annual reports to the EEOC?						
Do the agency's EEO programs address all of the laws enforced by the EEOC?						
	y and monitor significant t agency is meeting its oblic	Х				

Department of Commerce For period covering October 1,					ptemb	er 30, 2017
Does the agency track recruitment efforts and analyze efforts to identify potential barriers in accordance with MD-715 standards?						All Commerce bureaus reported that they met this measure except the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). See NOAA's MD-715 Report.
	Ilt with other agencies of sidentify best practices and	imilar size on the effectiveness of I share ideas?	Х			
Compliance Indicator	The agency ensures that the investigation and			ure has met	s been	For all unmet measures, provide a brief explanation in the space below or
Measures	are separate from its	f its complaint resolution process legal defense arm of agency or nflicting or competing interests.	Yes	No	N/A	complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report
Are legal sufficiency reviews of EEO matters handled by a functional unit that is separate and apart from the unit which handles agency representation in EEO						
Does the agency discrimination complaint process ensure a neutral adjudication function?						
If applicable, are processing time frames incorporated for the legal counsel's sufficiency review for timely processing of complaints?						

Essential Element F: RESPONSIVENESS AND LEGAL COMPLIANCE This element requires that federal agencies are in full compliance with EEO statutes and EEOC regulations, policy guidance, and other written instructions.						
Department of Commerce	For period covering October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017					

Department of Commo	erce	For period covering October 1,	2016	to Se	ptemb	per 30, 2017	
Compliance Indicator			Measure has bee met			For all unmet measures, provide a brief explanation in the space below or	
Measures		ccountable for timely compliance EEOC Administrative Judges.	Yes	No	N/A	complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report	
		control to ensure that agency s issued by EEOC Administrative	Х				
Compliance Indicator		of management controls ensures	Meası	ure has met	s been	For all unmet measures, provide a brief explanation in the space below or	
Measures	that the agency timely action and submits its o 30 days o	Yes	No	N/A	complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report		
Does the agency have of Yes, answer the two qu		ocessing function of the agency? If	Х				
Are there steps in place to guarantee responsive, timely, and predictable processing of ordered monetary relief?							
Are procedures in p	Are procedures in place to promptly process other forms of ordered relief?						
Compliance Indicator	The agency's system o	The agency's system of management controls ensures			s been	For all unmet measures, provide a brief explanation in the space below or	
Measures	action and submits its o	that the agency timely completes all ordered corrective action and submits its compliance report to EEOC within 30 days of such completion.				complete and attach an EEOC FORM 715- 01 PART H to the agency's status report	
Is compliance with EEC agency employees?	C orders encompassed in	the performance standards of any	Х				
If so, please identify the employees by title in the comments section, and state how performance is measured.				nistration g Direct menta monitor	on & S ctor of t tion Dir s agen rs and	, Director of special Projects and the Program and vision, OCR, oversees noy's compliance with it is covered in her	
Is the unit charged with the responsibility for compliance with EEOC orders located in the EEO office?							
If not, please identify the unit in which it is located, the number of employees in the unit, and their grade levels in the comments section.							
Have the involved empl	oyees received any forma	I training in EEO compliance?	Х				

Department of Commerce	For period covering October 1,	2016	to Se	ptemb	er 30,	2017
Does the agency promptly provide to the EEOC completing compliance:	the following documentation for					
Attorney Fees: Copy of check issued for attorstatement by an appropriate agency official, of dollar amount of attorney fees paid?		Х				
Awards: A narrative statement by an appropr dollar amount and the criteria used to calcula		Х				
Back Pay and Interest: Computer print-outs of back pay and interest, copy of any checks is appropriate agency official of total monies pa	sued, narrative statement by an	Х				
Compensatory Damages: The final agency d made?	Compensatory Damages: The final agency decision and evidence of payment, if made?					
Training: Attendance roster at training session(s) or a narrative statement by an appropriate agency official confirming that specific persons or groups of persons attended training on a date certain?						
Personnel Actions (e.g., Reinstatement, Pror Copies of SF-50s	notion, Hiring, Reassignment):	Х				
Posting of Notice of Violation: Original signed dates that the notice was posted. A copy of the not available.		Х				
Supplemental Investigation: 1. Copy of letter to complainant acknowledging receipt from EEOC of remanded case. 2. Copy of letter to complainant transmitting the Report of Investigation (not the ROI itself unless specified). 3. Copy of request for a hearing (complainant's request or agency's transmittal letter).						
Final Agency Decision (FAD): FAD or copy o hearing.	Х					
Restoration of Leave: Print-out or statement identifying the amount of leave restored, if applicable. If not, an explanation or statement.						
Civil Actions: A complete copy of the civil act issues raised as in compliance matter.	Х					
Settlement Agreements: Signed and dated a amounts, if applicable. Also, appropriate doc	Х					

Footnotes:

^{1.} See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.102.

^{2.} When an agency makes modifications to its procedures, the procedures must be resubmitted to the Commission. See EEOC Policy Guidance on Executive Order 13164: Establishing Procedures to Facilitate the Provision of Reasonable Accommodation (10/20/00), Question 28

EEOC FORM 715-01 PART H-1		U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT						
Department of Cor	mmerce		For period covering October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017					
STATEMENT of MODEL PROGRAM ESSENTIAL ELEMEN DEFICIENCY:	NT	Improper re	porting structure of EEO Office and EEO Director.					
OBJECTIVE:		To address	the reporting structure of the EEO Office, EEO Director, and OCR Director.					
RESPONSIBLE OFF	ICIAL:	OCR Directo	or					
DATE OBJECTIVE IN	ITIATED:	01/29/2018						
TARGET DATE FOR COMPLETION OF O		01/29/2019						
PLANNED ACTIVITIE								
TARGET DATE: 01/2	29/2019		CATIONS TO OBJECTIVE					
EEOC FORM 715-01 PART H-2			U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT					
Department of Cor	mmerce		For period covering October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017					
STATEMENT of MODEL PROGRAM ESSENTIAL ELEMEN DEFICIENCY:	NT	process. Th	ement D: Proactive Prevention ADR is voluntary for all parties in the EEO ne Agency does not require managers to participate in ADR after it has been accepted by the Complainant.					
			To determine if not requiring managers to participate in ADR after elected by the Complainant is a barrier to resolution efforts.					
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Director		Director of the	Director of the Office of Civil Rights					
DATE OBJECTIVE IN	PATE OBJECTIVE INITIATED: 04/30/2018							
TARGET DATE FOR COMPLETION OF O		TIVE: 09/30/2018						
PLANNED ACTIVITIES TOWARD COMPLETION OF OBJECTIVE:								

ADR is currently voluntary for supervisors/managers because our ADR analysis shows the challenge rests with the individual's election, rather than in managerial support/participation in ADR. Therefore, the Department has not made the policy decision to make ADR mandatory for managers. If future trend analysis points to managers' unwillingness to participate, after an employee elects ADR, as a barrier for resolution at the lowest level, we will review our policy decision. In FY 2017, of the 66 instances where ADR was elected by the Complainant (55 in the pre-complaint and 11 in the formal process), there were 9 instances of the agency declining: 6 in NOAA; 2 in ITA; and 1 in MBDA. Therefore, the agency agreed to conduct ADR when elected by the Complainant 86% of the time. The Agency will continue to monitor OS-serviced bureaus and other bureaus' trends for cases where managers decline ADR after the Complainant has elected it. This information will be monitored quarterly and appropriate remedial measures will be adopted if the data shows that not requiring managers to participate in ADR efforts is a barrier to resolution efforts.

TARGET DATE: 09/30/2018

REPORT OF ACCOMPLISHMENTS and MODIFICATIONS TO OBJECTIVE

The Department remains committed to the early resolution of workplace disputes. The Secretary incorporated support for ADR in the annual EEO policy statement. The available data for FY 2017 shows that the Agency agreed to participate in ADR 86% of the times when elected by the Complainant. However, as part of continued efforts to promote proactive conflict resolution, the Agency highlights the following initiatives: Alternate Forums: In FY 2017, 88% of the individuals contacting the EEO Office that services the Office of the Secretary and other bureaus within the Department, elected alternative means of addressing workplace conflict after consultation with staff. A total of 238 consultations were held in this period. This result is attributed to the clear, fact-based guidance and alternatives for addressing workplace conflicts offered by the team. Proactive Prevention Workshops: The Department's OCR offered a series of proactive prevention workshops in FY 2017, focusing on cross cultural awareness, multigenerational work teams and emotional intelligence to all employees in the DC metro area. A total of 156 employees from across the Department attended the various sessions. Forty percent (40%) of the workshop participants were in supervisory positions; 55% of the attendees were females and 45% males. Because attendee feedback is the cornerstone of measuring the effectiveness of these sessions, CSRD was successful in soliciting feedback from 90% of the workshop participants. The workshops received a combined average rating of 4.4 for overall value; and the facilitator received a combined rating of 4.7 on a 1 to 5-point scale with 5 being the highest positive rating. The sessions have been highly recommended and OCR has received numerous requests to offer the sessions in the future. Mediation Feedback: To assess the effectiveness of ADR/mediation sessions, the OS EEO Office continually solicits feedback from all participants. In FY 2017, 91% of the participants (including Complainants, their representatives, management officials and Agency counsel) reported they would utilize mediation in the future and recommend to others. Data from feedback shows that 95% reported they were satisfied with the process and the outcome, and 5% reported dissatisfaction with the process. On closer review, the respondents who reported dissatisfaction with the process were responding officials. However, it should be noted that in one of these cases, a settlement was reached after the mediation session, and in the other case, the employee elected not to pursue an EEO complaint.

EEOC FORM 715-01 PART I-1	U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT							
Department of Com	merce	For period co	overing Octob	ber 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017				
A POTENTIAL BARR Provide a brief narrati	NDITION THAT WAS A TRIGINAL NUMBER: IVE describing the condition and the recognized as a potential but the condition and the recognized as a potential but the condition and	at issue.	Hispanics have less than expected participation in the DOC workforce. They also have less than expected participation in the Leadership Pipeline and are separating at higher rates than their hires.					
BARRIER ANALYSIS Provide a description determine cause of th	of the steps taken and data	analyzed to	OCR conducted an analysis of Hispanic employees at the DOC using various data tools: 1) Workforce Analytics and Monster Government workforce data tables to identify numerical triggers to potential barriers, 2) the Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey (FEVS) results for potential causes or issues impacting diversity and inclusion, and 3) Form 462 to identify any anomalies on complaints bases and issues. For detailed analysis, please find the Hispanic Employment Barrier Analysis report included in our supporting documentation.					
	NTIFIED BARRIER: atement of the agency policy.een determined to be the bar		A barrier has not been identified.					
OBJECTIVE: State the alternative or revised agency policy, procedure or practice to be implemented to correct the undesired condition.			As part of our continued barrier analysis efforts, we will conduct retention and exit surveys.					
RESPONSIBLE OFF	ICIAL:		OHRM and C	OCR Directors				
DATE OBJECTIVE IN	NITIATED:		04/30/2018					
TARGET DATE FOR	COMPLETION OF OBJECT	TVE:	04/30/2019					
EEOC FORM 715-01 PART I-1		EEO Plan	To Eliminate I	Identified Barrier				
PLANNED ACTIVITIES TOWARD COMPLETION OF OBJECTIVE:				TARGET DATE (Must be specific)				
Hire a data/management analyst: In FY16, we recognized the n additional staff with competencies to conduct a thorough and so analysis. Therefore, in FY17, we are hiring a data/management assist with our analysis efforts; we expect the person to onboard Update: This objective was accomplished with the hire of a Manalyst in Feb 2017.			scientific barrier ent analyst to pard Feb 2017.					
groups and implement and encourage our ward can lead to improved	Surveys. By conducting a Renting Enterprise-Wide Exit Surverkforce to voice their concest policies, practices, and produes across the DOC.	urveys, the DO erns and provide	C will invite e input, which	04/30/2019				

Examine Policies/Data: The DOC is committed to examining the following policies and data for potential barriers:	04/30/2019
Hiring Policies Interview Process and Procedures Bureau-specific Mission Critical Occupation Data by Position, Grade Level Data on Referrals and Interviews	
Enhance Collaboration Between Bureau HR and EEO Offices. In order to achieve a more diverse and inclusive workforce among all levels at the DOC, enhanced collaboration of EEO and HR offices is crucial. DOC is proposing two plans of action where the offices can collaborate:	04/30/2019
 Examine DOC-wide leadership development programs and career development programs. Develop strategies to address the FEVS high-rate negative response items (i.e. establishing a working group). 	

REPORT OF ACCOMPLISHMENTS and MODIFICATIONS TO OBJECTIVE

A Management Analyst was hired in FY17. The Management Analyst has been crucial in the Department's barrier analysis efforts

The BAWG reconvened in FY17 and meets on a quarterly basis to address triggers/barriers across the DOC.

EEOC FORM 715-01 PART I-2	U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT					
Department of Com	merce For period covering October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017					
STATEMENT OF CONDITION THAT WAS A TRIGGER FOR A POTENTIAL BARRIER: Provide a brief narrative describing the condition at issue. How was the condition recognized as a potential barrier?		Females of all races have less than expected participation in the GS13-15 pipeline and have higher separation rates than hiring rates. They also make 61% of all complaints filed.				
BARRIER ANALYSIS:			The DOC conducted an in-depth examination of potential			
Provide a description of the steps taken and data analyzed to determine cause of the condition.			barriers pertaining to recruitment, advancement, and retention of minority groups at the DOC. The DOC examined 1) Workforce Data Tables, 2) the Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey (FEVS) results for potential causes or issues impacting diversity and inclusion, and 2) Form 462 to identify any anomalies on complaints bases and issues.			
STATEMENT OF IDE	NTIFIED BARRIER:					
Provide a succinct statement of the agency policy, procedure or practice that has been determined to be the barrier of the undesired condition.			A barrier has not been identified.			
				o conclusively identify and, ultimately, pave the way to liminating barriers to the employment of Females and their		
	or revised agency policy, procented to correct the undesire		in the leadership pipeline at the DOC.			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:			OHRM and OCR Directors			
DATE OBJECTIVE IN	IITIATED:		04/30/2018			
TARGET DATE FOR	COMPLETION OF OBJECT	IVE:	04/30/2019			
EEOC FORM 715-01 EEO Plan To Eliminate Identified Barrier PART I-2						
PLANNED ACTIVITIES TOWARD COMPLETION OF OBJECTIVE:				TARGET DATE (Must be specific)		
Hire a data analyst who will oversee efforts conducted by the Barrier Analysis Working Group.			02/28/2017			
Convene the Barrier Analysis Working Group to look at relevant data to complete the planned activities.			09/30/2017			
Examine Policies/Data. The DOC is committed to examine the following policies and data for potential barriers:				04/30/2019		
 Hiring Policies Interview Process and Procedures Bureau-specific Mission Critical Occupation Data by Position and Grade Level Data on Referrals and Interviews 						

Enhance Collaboration Between Bureau HR and EEO Offices. In order to achieve a more diverse and inclusive workforce among all levels at the DOC, enhanced collaboration of EEO and HR offices is crucial. DOC is proposing two plans of action where the offices can collaborate: • Examine DOC-wide leadership development programs and career development programs. • Develop strategies to address the FEVS high-rate negative response items (i.e. establishing a working group).	04/30/2019
Conduct Workforce Surveys: By conducting a <u>Retention Survey</u> for select groups and implementing <u>Enterprise-Wide Exit Surveys</u> , the DOC will invite and encourage our workforce to voice their concerns and provide input, which can lead to improved policies, practices, and procedures that can positively improve retention issues across the DOC.	04/30/2019

REPORT OF ACCOMPLISHMENTS and MODIFICATIONS TO OBJECTIVE

A Management Analyst was hired in FY17. The Management Analyst has been crucial in the Department's barrier analysis efforts.

The BAWG reconvened in FY17 and meets on a quarterly basis to address triggers/barriers across the DOC.

MD-715 – Part J

Special Program Plan for the Recruitment, Hiring, Advancement, and Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To capture agencies' affirmative action plan for persons with disabilities (PWD) and persons with targeted disabilities (PWTD), EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(e)) and MD-715 require agencies to describe how their affirmative action plan will improve the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of applicants and employees with disabilities.

Section I: Efforts to Reach Regulatory Goals

EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7)) require agencies to establish specific numerical goals for increasing the participation of persons with reportable and targeted disabilities in the federal government.

 Using the goal of 12% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWD</u> by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWD) Answer: No

b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWD) Answer: Yes

There is a trigger for PWD at GS11 & above at 10.02%, which is below the 12% goal.

- * For GS employees, please use two clusters: GS-1 to GS-10 and GS-11 to SES, as set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7). For all other pay plans, please use the approximate grade clusters that are above or below GS-11 Step 1 in the Washington, DC metropolitan region.
- Using the goal of 2% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWTD</u> by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWTD) Answer: No

b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWTD) Answer: No

No triggers.

3. Describe how the agency has communicated the numerical goals to the hiring managers and/or recruiters.

State of the Agency and quarterly briefings with senior leadership to communicate goals and progress in reaching targets. Communicated goals during supervisor/managers' training. Numerical goals communicated to Human Resources, especially recruiting staff to inform hiring managers of agency goals. Hiring managers

were made aware of numerical goals with each recruitment action.

Section II: Model Disability Program

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1), agencies must ensure sufficient staff, training and resources to recruit and hire persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities, administer the reasonable accommodation program and special emphasis program, and oversee any other disability hiring and advancement program the agency has in place.

A. PLAN TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT & COMPETENT STAFFING FOR DISABILITY PROGRAM

1. Has the agency designated sufficient qualified personnel to implement its disability program during the reporting period? If "no", describe the agency's plan to improve the staffing for the upcoming year.

Answer: Yes

Yes there is sufficient personnel.

 Identify all staff responsible for implementing the agency's disability employment program by the office, staff employment status, and responsible official.

Disability Program Task	# of FTE Staff by Employment Status			Responsible Official (Name, Title, Office, Email)	
2.6aziii, Fregram Facik	Full Time	Part Time	Collateral Duty		
Processing applications from PWD and PWTD	6	0	1	Charles Clark, Acting Director, Office of Human Capital Strategy and Diversity, cclark@doc.gov	
Answering questions from the public about hiring authorities that take disability into account	17	0	0	Charles Clark, Acting Director, Office of Human Capital Strategy and Diversity, cclark@doc.gov	
Processing reasonable accommodation requests from applicants and employees	13	0	0	Monique Dismuke, DOC Reasonable Accommodation Program Manager, Office of Civil Rights, mdismuke@doc.gov	
Section 508 Compliance	3	0	11	Jennifer Jessup, DOC Section 508 Compliance Coordinator, Office of Chief Information Officer, jiessup@doc.gov	
Architectural Barriers Act Compliance	5	0	0	Michael Rusten, Associate Director, Office of Space & Building Management, mrusten@doc.gov	
Special Emphasis Program for PWD and PWTD	6	0	0	Monique Dismuke, Senior Disability Program Manager, Office of Civil Rights, mdismuke@doc.gov	

3. Has the agency provided disability program staff with sufficient training to carry out their responsibilities during the reporting period? If "yes", describe the training(s) that disability program staff have received. If "no", describe the training(s) planned for the upcoming year.

Answer: Yes

Disability staff attended various training courses conducted by various organizations, including USDA Graduate School, ASKEARN Training Center, Disability Management Employer Coalition, and Job Accommodation Network (JAN). The classes and webinars included topics, such as: Special Appointing Authorities - Schedule A; Veterans with Disabilities recruitment and placement; EEOC Updates on Employment of PWD and PWTD. Training was also received through attendance at the EEOC EXCEL conference, Federal Dispute Resolution (FDR) conference, and the Federal Employment Law Training. Some DPMs will attend EEOC DPM Course in 2018.

B. PLAN TO ENSURE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

Has the agency provided sufficient funding and other resources to successfully implement the disability program during the reporting period? If "no", describe the agency's plan to ensure all aspects of the disability program have sufficient funding and other resources.

Answer: Yes

The program does have sufficient funding. However, there are areas for improvement, i.e. funding for targeted recruitment efforts; central funding for commonly requested reasonable accommodation items; and centralized funding for all bureaus for sign language interpreters. These items will be evaluated/addressed in FY 2018.

Section III: Plan to Recruit and Hire Individuals with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1)(i) and (ii), agencies must establish a plan to increase the recruitment and hiring of individuals with disabilities. The questions below are designed to identify outcomes of the agency's recruitment program plan for PWD and PWTD.

A. PLAN TO IDENTIFY JOB APPLICANTS WITH DISABILITIES

1. Describe the programs and resources the agency uses to identify job applicants with disabilities, including individuals with targeted disabilities.

The Department uses a variety of programs and resources to identify PWD/TD to include: maintains a catalogue of applicants eligible for non-competitive hiring authorities which is accessible by members of the HR community so resumes can be shared with hiring officials as positions become available; leverages alternative recruitment sources (i.e. VetSuccess.gov database, resume mining feature from USAJOBS) for vacant positions and to identify candidates w/disabilities; develops relationships with local colleges and universities and participate in their job recruitment fairs; coordinate with the Workforce Recruitment Program for nationwide access to postsecondary students and recent graduates with disabilities; participates in the VA's Non-Paid Work Experience Program, offering the opportunity to recruit and employ eligible disabled veterans via the Veterans Vocational and Rehabilitation Program; establishes separate webpages for disseminating information to job applicants w/disabilities. The Department also continued to provide information on Commerce's disability employment program, information on employment opportunities and the hiring process, points of contacts for reasonable accommodation requests, and assistive technology resources through various sources, i.e. webinars, LinkedIn, and other social media.

2. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(a)(3), describe the agency's use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A) to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce.

The Department encourages managers to use Schedule A to fill vacant positions whenever possible. Through outreach to vocational rehabilitation centers and disabled veterans' organizations, encourages eligible applicants to make resumes searchable in USAJOBS by selecting eligibility for special hiring authorities. The Selective Placement Program (SPP) develops recruitment solutions tailored to specific hiring needs, markets agency vacancies to persons with disabilities who are eligible for noncompetitive placement via the Schedule A, and represents the Department at events focused on hiring people with disabilities. The SPP allows persons utilizing Schedule A to send their resumes directly to them to be placed in a database. Hiring officials within the agency have the ability to review resumes in the database to recruit for open positions. Applicants that had questions on Schedule A appointments or wanted to receive technical assistance on how to apply, could email the centralized ScheduleA@doc.gov email account.

3. When individuals apply for a position under a hiring authority that takes disability into account (e.g., Schedule A), explain how the agency (1) determines if the individual is eligible for appointment under such authority and (2) forwards the individual's application to the relevant hiring officials with an explanation of how and when the individual may be appointed.

The Department has Human Resources (HR) Specialists who are dedicated to working with job applicants who apply under special hiring authorities, i.e. Schedule A to determine eligibility and forward the application to relevant hiring officials.

1) Applications received via a USAJOBS announcement are assessed by the assigned HR Specialist and referred if the candidates meet the requirements for the

position.

- 2) In addition, the Selective Placement Program allows candidates to submit their application package directly to the selective placement email account for quality review. The coordinator reviews the application to determine if the candidate qualifies for the position and then verifies that the Schedule A letter submitted is in compliance. If all criteria are met, the candidate is placed into an electronic database where hiring managers can review resumes that match the qualifications of the position.
- 3) The Selective Placement Coordinator frequently searches the internal database for resumes of qualified applicants. Those applications are then forwarded to the hiring official with an explanation of the program and the process.
 - 4. Has the agency provided training to all hiring managers on the use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A)? If "yes", describe the type(s) of training and frequency. If "no", describe the agency's plan to provide this training.

Answer: Yes

Training is available to all managers via the Commerce Learning Center. A specialized training program called "Roadmap to Success: Hiring, Retaining, and Including People with Disabilities" is a mandatory annual training required to be completed by all supervisors and managers. In addition, all new managers are required to take supervisors training within their first year, and this includes training on recruitment and hiring. Some bureaus conduct additional training. As an example, Census' Strategic Recruitment and Outreach Branch (SROB) provided a 3-hour management level training to supervisors and hiring managers on selective placement hiring initiatives and procedures for disability hiring. USPTO provided training on an ad hoc basis and is currently developing a plan to provide the training on a wider scale in FY18. NOAA offers bi-monthly in person or webinar training to hiring managers on topics such as ABC's of Schedule A Hiring.

B. PLAN TO ESTABLISH CONTACTS WITH DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT ORGANIZATIONS

Describe the agency's efforts to establish and maintain contacts with organizations that assist PWD, including PWTD, in securing and maintaining employment.

The various DOC bureaus have undertaken various strategies, such as establishing contacts with "Hiring Our Heroes", Vocational Rehabilitation Services, and RecruitMilitary which target PWD/TD; attending campus events for students with disabilities; developing relationships and partnering with disability offices at local colleges and universities; partnering with affinity groups to help recruit individuals with disabilities; partnering with local Vocational Rehabilitation centers, Maryland's Department of Rehabilitation Services, and Virginia Department of Aging and Rehabilitative Services to provide guidance on applying for federal positions.

C. PROGRESSION TOWARDS GOALS (RECRUITMENT AND HIRING)

- Using the goals of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD as the benchmarks, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce? If "yes", please describe the triggers below.
 - a. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWD) Answer: No
 - b. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWTD) Answer: No

No triggers.

- 2. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below.
 - a. New Hires for MCO (PWD) Answer: No
 - b. New Hires for MCO (PWTD) Answer: Yes

Among the new hires with disabilities who voluntarily identified their disability, triggers existed for PWTD in the following most populous MCOs:

- 301 Benchmark 2.0% qualified, none selected
- 482 Benchmark 1.0% qualified, none selected
- 905 Benchmark 1.6% qualified, none selected
- 2210 Benchmark 2.5% qualified, 0.6% selected
- 1530 Benchmark 2.6% qualified, none selected
- 1301 Benchmark 1.7% qualified, none selected
- 1101 Benchmark 2.6% qualified, none selected
 - 3. Using the relevant applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the qualified *internal* applicants for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below.
 - a. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWD) Answer: No
 - b. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWTD)

 Answer: Yes

Among the qualified internal applicants with disabilities who voluntarily identified their disability, triggers existed for PWTD in the following most populous MCOs:

- 301 Benchmark 0.4% qualified, none selected
- 343 Benchmark 1.8% qualified, none selected
- 1530 Benchmark 2.6% qualified, none selected
- 1224 Benchmark 0.3% qualified, none selected
 - 4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below.
 - a. Promotions for MCO (PWD)

 Answer: No
 - b. Promotions for MCO (PWTD) Answer: No

There were no triggers identified.

Section IV: Plan to Ensure Advancement Opportunities for Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R §1614.203(d)(1)(iii), agencies are required to provide sufficient advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities. Such activities might include specialized training and mentoring programs, career development opportunities, awards programs, promotions, and similar programs that address advancement. In this section, agencies should identify, and provide data on programs designed to ensure advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities.

A. ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM PLAN

Describe the agency's plan to ensure PWD, including PWTD, have sufficient opportunities for advancement.

A wide variety of career/professional development programs that meet the needs of the entire workforce are provided for all employees, including PWD/TD. Several opportunities for employees to gain management and leadership skills to support the efforts of employees in accomplishing their career objectives will be provided in 2018, including briefings on developing Individual Development Plans and Leadership Competencies. All employees are encouraged to participate in DOC-wide developmental opportunities as well as Federal Government-wide career development programs. In addition, bureaus utilize Employee Resource Groups' meetings to discuss career development program opportunities. These will continue in 2018.

B. CAREER DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES

1. Please describe the career development opportunities that the agency provides to its employees.

DOC Bureaus have instituted various career development opportunities:

NIST: Foundations of Leadership Program (FLP); New Leader Program (NLP); Project Management and Leadership Program (PMLP). Mentoring and coaching sessions are available to participants of the programs.

NOAA: Leadership Competencies Development Program (LCDP); NOAA Leadership Seminar (NLS); NOAA Rotational Assignment Program (NRAP).

Census: Career Counselor who offers confidential career coaching services, and classes in the areas of Resume Writing, Job Search Strategies, Networking, and Interviewing Techniques.

2. Do triggers exist for <u>PWD</u> among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Applicants (PWD) Answer: No

b. Selections (PWD) Answer: No

The Department does not currently collect data for career development programs. This will be collected in FY 2018.

3. Do triggers exist for <u>PWTD</u> among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs identified? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Applicants (PWTD) Answer: No

b. Selections (PWTD) Answer: No

The Department does not currently collect data for career development programs. This will be collected in FY 2018.

C. AWARDS

1. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for any level of the time-off awards, bonuses, or other incentives? If "yes", please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWD) Answer: Yes

b. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWTD) Answer: Yes

Using the inclusion rate, triggers were identified in the following award categories:

PWTD: 1) up to \$500: 2) between \$501-1500: and 3) \$1500+

PWD: 1) between \$501-\$1500; 2) \$1500+

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for quality step increases or performance-based pay increases? If "yes", please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Pay Increases (PWD) Answer: No

b. Pay Increases (PWTD) Answer: No

There were no triggers identified

3. If the agency has other types of employee recognition programs, are PWD and/or PWTD recognized disproportionately less than employees without disabilities? (The appropriate benchmark is the inclusion rate.) If "yes", describe the employee recognition program and relevant data in the text box.

a. Other Types of Recognition (PWD) Answer: N/A

b. Other Types of Recognition (PWTD)

Answer: N/A

N/A

D. PROMOTIONS

1. Does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWD</u> among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. SES

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer: No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer: Yes

b. Grade GS-15

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer: No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer: No

c. Grade GS-14

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer: No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer: No

d. Grade GS-13

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer: No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer: No

A trigger was identified for PWD in internal selections for promotion to SES. They were 55.9% of the Qualified Internal Applicants, but 42.9% of the selectees. Selectees without disabilities was 57.1%.

- 2. Does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWTD</u> among the qualified *internal* applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
 - a. SES

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer: Yes

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer: Yes

b. Grade GS-15

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer: No

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer: Yes

c. Grade GS-14

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer: Yes

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer: Yes

d. Grade GS-13

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer: No

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer: Yes

Triggers exist for PWTD in Qualified Internal Applicants for promotion to SES and GS 14 positions.

There were no PWTD applicants for SES and GS 14 positions. There was 1 applicant for GS 15 and 3 applicants at GS 13.

Triggers exist for Internal Selections in SES, GS 15, GS 14, and GS 13. There were no selections for PWTD at any of these grade levels, although there were qualified PWTD candidates at the GS 15 (1 qualified candidate) and GS 13 (2 qualified candidates) levels.

3. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWD</u> among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. New Hires to SES (PWD) Answer: No

b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWD) Answer: No

c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWD) Answer: No

d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWD) Answer: No

The Department does not currently collect this data by grade level. It will be collected in FY 2018.

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWTD</u> among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. New Hires to SES (PWTD)

b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWTD)

c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWTD)

d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWTD)

Answer: No

Answer: No

The Department does not currently collect this data by grade level for new hires. It will be collected in FY 2018.

- 5. Does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWD</u> among the qualified *internal* applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
 - a. Executives

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer: No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer: No

b. Managers

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer: No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer: No

c. Supervisors

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer: No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer: No

The Department does not currently collect this data. It will be collected in FY 2018.

6. Does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWTD</u> among the qualified *internal* applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Executives

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer: No

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer: No

b. Managers

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer: No

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer: No

c. Supervisors

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer: No

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer: No

The Department does not currently collect this data. It will be collected in FY 2018.

7. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWD</u> among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. New Hires for Executives (PWD)

Answer: No

b. New Hires for Managers (PWD)

Answer: No

c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWD)

Answer: No

The Department does not currently collect this data. It will be collected in FY 2018.

8. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWTD</u> among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. New Hires for Executives (PWTD)

Answer: No

b. New Hires for Managers (PWTD)

Answer: No

c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWTD)

Answer: No

The Department does not currently collect this data. It will be collected in FY 2018.

Section V: Plan to Improve Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To be a model employer for persons with disabilities, agencies must have policies and programs in place to retain employees with disabilities. In this section, agencies should: (1) analyze workforce separation data to identify barriers retaining employees with disabilities; (2) describe efforts to ensure accessibility of technology and facilities; and (3) provide information on the reasonable accommodation program and workplace personal assistance services.

A. VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS

1. In this reporting period, did the agency convert all eligible Schedule A employees with a disability into the competitive service after two years of satisfactory service (5 C.F.R. § 213.3102(u)(6)(i))? If "no", please explain why the agency did not convert all eligible Schedule A employees.

Answer: Yes

In FY 2017, the agency established a system to monitor the status of Schedule A employees with disabilities. The Department's Selective Placement Program Coordinator within Office of Human Resources Management tracks this data.

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of <u>PWD</u> among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without disabilities? If "yes", describe the trigger below.

a. Voluntary Separations (PWD) Answer: Yes

b. Involuntary Separations (PWD) Answer: Yes

The % of voluntary and involuntary separations for PWD exceeded those without disabilities.

Voluntary-Inclusion Rate: PWD: 8.0% No Dis: 5.9% Involuntary-Inclusion Rate: PWD: 1.1% No Dis: 0.5%

3. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of <u>PWTD</u> among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without targeted disabilities? If "yes", describe the trigger below.

a. Voluntary Separations (PWTD) Answer: Yes

b. Involuntary Separations (PWTD) Answer: Yes

The % of voluntary and involuntary separations for PWTD exceeded those without disabilities.

Voluntary-Inclusion Rate: PWTD: 7.9% No Dis: 5.9% Involuntary-Inclusion Rate: PWTD: 1.2% No Dis: 0.5%

 If a trigger exists involving the separation rate of PWD and/or PWTD, please explain why they left the agency using exit interview results and other data sources.

The agency currently does not have a system to collect exit interview results. In FY 2018, the Office of Civil Rights, in collaboration with Office of Human Resources, are developing a survey to collect retention and exit interview data. The agency plans to

implement the system to collect and analyze exit interview data by the end of FY 2018.

B. ACCESSIBILITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND FACILITIES

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(4), federal agencies are required to inform job applicants and employees of their rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794(b)), concerning the accessibility of agency technology, and the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 4151 – 4157), concerning the accessibility of agency facilities. In addition, agencies are required to inform individuals where to file complaints if other agencies are responsible for a violation.

 Please provide the internet address on the agency's public website for its notice explaining employees' and applicants' rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

https://www.commerce.gov/policy/20171213/accessibility-policy

2. Please provide the internet address on the agency's public website for its notice explaining employees' and applicants' rights under the Architectural Barriers Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

This information is not currently available on the Department's website, but will be available in FY 2018.

3. Describe any programs, policies, or practices that the agency has undertaken, or plans on undertaking over the next fiscal year, designed to improve accessibility of agency facilities and/or technology.

In January 2018, DOC established the Web Advisory Council, an advisory group on web content. Also, in February 2018, DOC established the WAC Accessibility Working Group, a Commerce-wide working group with responsibility for EIT accessibility and Section 508 compliance. With the Section 508 refresh in 2017, this group will ensure the Department's website and electronic technology is fully compliant and accessible. The Office of Facilities and Environmental Quality, in collaboration with the Office of Civil Rights, updated the Department's Facilities Accessibility Policy to ensure accessibility for all employees and visitors.

Each Bureau also has its own Web Committee that provides guidance and training on proper development and presentation of accessible electronic information. The committees also have a Section 508 working group that meets regularly to address specific regulatory requirements and implementation concerns. Some Bureaus' internal websites also provide training materials, tools and other resources for developers and managers. In FY 2018, all DOC bureaus will establish Web Accessibility Working Groups.

C. REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PROGRAM

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(3), agencies must adopt, post on their public website, and make available to all job applicants and employees, reasonable accommodation procedures.

 Please provide the average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations during the reporting period. (Please do not include previously approved requests with repetitive accommodations, such as interpreting services.)

In FY 2017, all bureaus did not maintain data on average processing time for RA requests. The Bureaus that did maintain tracking data had various averages (7, 10, 25 days). With the implementation of the Commerce Accommodation Tracking System, the Department will be able to compile accurate RA data for the FY 2018 MD 715 report.

 Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the agency's reasonable accommodation program. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved accommodations, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring accommodation requests for trends.

Prior to FY 2017, RA data was manually tracked which created a challenge with providing accurate RA information. In FY 2017, the Department's Office of Civil Rights launched the Commerce Automated Tracking System. The system is designed to track RA requests; ensure timely processing; and provide regular reminders and updates for requestors and supervisors/managers. This allows the Department's Reasonable Accommodation Coordinators to better track timeliness and responsiveness on all RA requests. The Department's Reasonable Accommodation procedures were also updated to improve efficiency in the process. Employees and managers/supervisors routinely receive RA training online and via RA staff.

D. PERSONAL ASSISTANCE SERVICES ALLOWING EMPLOYEES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WORKPLACE

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(5), federal agencies, as an aspect of affirmative action, are required to provide personal assistance services (PAS) to employees who need them because of a targeted disability, unless doing so would impose an undue hardship on the agency.

Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the PAS requirement. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved services, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring PAS requests for trends.

The Department developed PAS procedures which became effective on January 3, 2018. Data on PAS will be provided in the 2018 MD 715 report.

Section VI: EEO Complaint and Findings Data

A. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING HARASSMENT

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging harassment, as compared to the government-wide average?

Answer: Yes

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging harassment based on disability status result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

Answer: Yes

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

During FY 17, 23.6% of the formal complaints filed involved complaints alleging harassment on the basis of disability. This is higher than the government average of 14.25%. There was one finding and 28 settlements on the basis of disability. The relief ordered for the finding was as follows:

The Agency shall:

- provide Complainant with a reasonable accommodation to work day shifts.
- reimburse Complainant all annual and sick leave she took because she could not work night shift from September 2008 to the present.
- pay Complainant for any Leave without Pay (LWOP) she took because she could not work night shift from September 2008 to the present.
- revoke and remove the Leave Request Procedures Memorandum of January 29, 2009.
 - post copies of the attached notice.
 - pay Complainant's attorney's fees of \$43,064.00 and costs of \$756.44.
 - pay Complainant \$15,000.00 in non-pecuniary compensatory damages.

B. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION

 During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging failure to provide a reasonable accommodation, as compared to the government-wide average?

Answer: Yes

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging failure to provide reasonable accommodation result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

Answer: Yes

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination involving the failure to provide a reasonable accommodation during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

During FY 17, 19.1% of the formal complaints filed involved complaints alleging failure to provide reasonable accommodation. This is higher than the government average of 9.74%. There was one finding that included a claim of failure to provide reasonable

accommodation included in the finding in Question A above. There were also 3 settlements involving failure to provide reasonable accommodations. RA training will be enhanced for managers. In addition, a rewrite of the Departmental RA policy will better outline and define the requirements and procedures for management.

Section VII: Identification and Removal of Barriers

Element D of MD-715 requires agencies to conduct a barrier analysis when a trigger suggests that a policy, procedure, or practice may be impeding the employment opportunities of a protected EEO group.

1. Has the agency identified any barriers (policies, procedures, and/or practices) that affect employment opportunities for PWD and/or PWTD?

Answer: No

2. Has the agency established a plan to correct the barrier(s) involving PWD and/or PWTD?

Answer: No

3. Identify each trigger and plan to remove the barrier(s), including the identified barrier(s), objective(s), responsible official(s), planned activities, and, where applicable, accomplishments.

Trigger 1	PWTD in the DOC workforce had a less than expected participation rate of 0.9%, which is less than the 2% goal. The rate of separation for PWD/PWTD continues to be higher than employees without disabilities.				
Barrier(s)	Limited barrier analysis was conducted in FY 2017 to identify triggers and potential root cause for the less than expected participation rate. Further analysis is planned for FY 2018.				
Objective(s)	All hiring managers receive mandatory training on Reasonable Accommodations and Hiring, Recruitment and Retention of IWTD. Each Bureau will establish an annual Affirmative Action plan for increasing the participation of IWTDs. Establish retention and exit interview surveys to determine root cause for increased separation rates.				
Responsible Official(s)		Performance Standards Address the Plan? (Yes or No)			
Res	ponsible Official(s)	the Plan?			
Monique Dismuk Manager; Tinisha Rights; Stacy Ca	ponsible Official(s) e, DOC Disability Program a Agramonte, Dir, Office of Civil rter, Chief, Policy & Evaluation Mahoney, Dir, Office of Human	the Plan?			
Monique Dismuk Manager; Tinisha Rights; Stacy Ca Division; Kevin M Resources	e, DOC Disability Program a Agramonte, Dir, Office of Civil rter, Chief, Policy & Evaluation	the Plan? (Yes or No)			

Sources of Data		Sources Reviewed? (Yes or No)	Identify	Information C	ollected
Workforce Data Tables		Yes			
Complaint Data (Trends)		Yes			
Grievance Data (Trends) Findings from Decisions (e.g.,		No			
EEO, Grievance, MSPB, Anti- Harassment Processes) Climate Assessment Survey (e.g.,		Yes			
FEVS)	FEVS)				
Exit Interview Data		No			
Focus Groups	Focus Groups				
Interviews	Interviews				
Reports (e.g., Congress, EEOC, MSPB, GAO, OPM)		No			
Other (Please D		No			
Target Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Planned Activities		Sufficient Staffing & Funding (Yes or No)	Modified Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
10/31/2017	Develop and implement Department wide mandatory training on Reasonable Accommodations and Hiring, Recruitment and Retention of PWTD. Each Bureau will establish an annual Affirmative Action plan for increasing the participation of PWTDs. Establish retention and exit interview surveys to determine root cause for increased separation rates.		Yes	10/31/2018	
Fiscal Year	Accomplishments				
2017	OPM, in collaboration with EEOC, revised the codes for the SF 256, Self-				

4.	Please explain the factor(s) that prevented the agency from timely completing any of the planned activities.
5.	For the planned activities that were completed, please describe the actual impact of those activities toward eliminating the barrier(s).
6.	If the planned activities did not correct the trigger(s) and/or barrier(s), please describe how the agency intends to improve the plan for the next fiscal year.