



Framework for AI Reporting (FAIR) Appendix

This appendix contains sample language for the user to consider. Some are created by The LAB, while some are pulled from government solicitations and other document. Those samples pulled from a government source will include agency information where applicable. These samples are organized in level of restriction from being open and agnostic to completely prohibited. Please use the market research phase to decide where you fall in this spectrum. You can jump to the sections below: [Unrestricted/Disclosure](#), [Requiring Proof](#), [Prohibited](#), [Government Use](#).

Unrestricted/Disclosure

- Offerors are required to disclose any and all AI tools used in the creation of their proposals, including but not limited to large language models, automated writing assistants, and content generation platforms. Disclosure shall include a description of how the AI tools were used and the extent to which they contributed to the proposal content. Failure to disclose the use of AI tools may result in the proposal being deemed non-compliant.
- Offerors must disclose the use of any artificial intelligence tools used in the development of this proposal. Failure to disclose may result in proposal rejection.
- **NASA RFP, SEWP VI, 80TECH24R0001**
 - The Offeror shall also provide the following information for informational purposes only as part of the Offer Volume. The first 2 points shall be included in a General Instructions Cover Page; and the other points shall be separate documents. Note that all documents submitted in response to this section are excluded from page count limitations:
 - 1. The names, phone numbers, and email addresses of persons to be contacted for clarification of questions of a technical nature and business nature.
 - 2. Identify any consultants, generative artificial intelligence, and/or sub-contractors used in writing this proposal (if any)
- **NASA RFP, ROSES, NNH24ZDA001N**
 - Currently, SMD does not prohibit the use of Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools, such as ChatGPT, or professional human writers in the preparation of proposals or required award reports. In general, though, SMD discourages the unacknowledged inclusion of any content in proposal materials or award reports that is not the creative product of the proposal team. NASA holds proposers and award recipients responsible for the accuracy and authenticity of their proposal submission and award reports, including content developed with the assistance of Generative AI tools or professional human writers.
 - Any material contained in proposals or in reports to NASA that is not the product of the team must be cited in the references section, e.g., either the name of the professional writer and a statement describing to which portions of the document they contributed or, if AI is used, the name of the program, version number, the date and time, and a statement on how the Generative AI was used. For more information see <https://science.nasa.gov/researchers/sara/faqs/#faq-32>.



Requiring Proof

- If the government, at its sole discretion, suspects that any portion of an offeror's submission was generated or significantly influenced by artificial intelligence, the government may elect to conduct a brief (not to exceed 30 minutes) virtual interview prior to finalizing a rating for that factor. This interview will serve to further assess the offeror's qualifications, written assertions, and may cover the entirety of the submission for that factor. The government reserves the right to conduct such interviews only with suspect offerors as deemed necessary.
- Proposals will be evaluated on the clarity, coherence, and conciseness of their writing. Proposals that exhibit inconsistencies in tone, style, or factual accuracy, which may indicate the use of AI-generated content without adequate human oversight, may be rated lower in relevant evaluation factors. The government reserves the right to request clarification or additional information to verify the authorship of any proposal content.
- Proposals demonstrating excessive reliance on artificial intelligence generation may receive lower technical ratings. Human expertise and original thought must be clearly evident.



Prohibited

- All demonstrated prior experience submissions must be written by the offeror's personnel with direct knowledge of the work performed and may not be generated through AI tools.
- Proposals must represent the offeror's original work and must not infringe upon the intellectual property rights of others. Plagiarism, including the unauthorized use of AI-generated content, is strictly prohibited and may result in the proposal being deemed non-compliant.
- The Government prohibits the use of generative or open-source artificial intelligence in developing the written, oral, or any component of the quote or proposal. Further, the Government may compare responses against generative or open-source AI, also considering AI detectors or document metadata, and if the offeror's submission is subjectively similar, then the Government reserves the right to remove the proposal for noncompliance.”
- **DOC NOAA RFP, EAD, 1305M226Q0065**
 - **AI Content:** Firms that fail to provide a clear statement in the SF1449 submission that AI was not used to generate the contents or response will be ineligible for evaluation and award.
- [DOC NETS RFQ, pg. 13](#)
 - m. Restriction on the Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) Technologies in RFQ Submissions

The use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies, including but not limited to AI-assisted writing tools and automated content generators, is expressly discouraged for the preparation of the required 500-word response narratives. All narratives should be the original work of the Quoter, demonstrating their *unique* expertise, methodologies, and compliance strategies. Quotes must represent the Quoter's original work and must not infringe upon the intellectual property rights of others. Plagiarism, including the unauthorized use of AI-generated content, is strictly prohibited and may result in the quote being deemed non-compliant.

By submitting a Phase III response, the Quoter certifies that the narratives provided were created without the assistance of AI technologies. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in disqualification of the submission response at the sole discretion of the Contracting Officer. Furthermore, the Government reserves the right to investigate and verify the authenticity of the submitted narratives, including the use of open-source AI detection tools. Plagiarism, including the unauthorized use of AI-generated content, is strictly prohibited and may result in the quote being deemed non-compliant.



Government Use

- SOCOM, RFP, H9240026RE001

- **L1.8 USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) TOOLS IN PROPOSAL EVALUATION**

- **L1.8.1 Use of AI tools in Support of Evaluations:** The Government may employ AI as a tool to assist in the analysis and review of offeror proposals. AI tools may be used to support tasks such as summarizing proposal content, identifying compliance with request for task order proposal / solicitation requirements, and highlighting areas of potential strength, weakness, or risk.
 - **L1.8.2 Maintaining Inherently Governmental Functions:** Notwithstanding the use of AI tools to support the evaluation process, all final evaluation judgements and source selection decisions will be made exclusively by duly appointed Government personnel. The Government retains sole responsibility for all inherently governmental functions and will not delegate decision-making authority to any AI tool.
 - **L1.8.3 Offeror Confidentiality and Data Protection:** The AI tools utilized by the Government will be employed in a manner consistent with applicable regulations that govern the protection of proprietary and source selection sensitive information. The AI tool will be an output-only system. Any generated report data is not saved. No proposal data will be transmitted to or processed by external, non-Government systems without appropriate safeguards and authorizations in place.

If you have more samples to share, send them to TheLAB@doc.gov.

