## Senior Level (SL) and Scientific or Professional (ST) Appraisal Program Performance Plan for U.S. Department of Commerce Appraisal Period: 10/01/2021-09/30/2022

Appraisal Period: 10/01/2021-09/30/2022							
Part 1. Consultation. I have reviewed this plan and have been consulted on its development.							
Senior Professional's Name (Last, First, MI):				SL	ST		
				CA 🗌	Ex Term		
Title:		Supervisory Non-supervisor		Organi	zation:		
Senior Professional's Signature:	<u> </u>	_ 1		Date:	ate:		
Rating Official's Name:	Rating Official's	s Signature:		Date:			
Reviewing Official's Name (Optional):	Reviewing Office (Optional):	cial's Signature		Date:			
Part 2. Progress Review. I have partic the performance plan.	ipated in a conver	rsation regarding	progress to	oward n	neeting the crit	tical elements in	
Senior Professional's Signature:				Date:	e:		
Rating Official's Name:	Rating Official's	s Signature:		Date:	::		
Part 3. Rating of Record. The rating of reviews and signatures.	f record is final w	hen it is issued to	a Senior P	Professio	onal with all a	ppropriate	
Rating Official's Recommendation	Level 5	Level 4	Leve	el 3	Level 2	Level 1	
Rating Official's Name:	Rating Official's	s Signature:		I	Date:		
Reviewing Official's Recommendation (Optional):	Level 5	Level 4	Leve	el 3	Level 2	Level 1	
Reviewing Official's Name (Optional):	Reviewing Official's Signature (Optional):			I	Date:		
Senior Professional's Signature:	Higher-Level Review Requested (Optional)			' I	Date:		
Higher-Level Review Recommendation (Optional):	Level 5	Level 4	Level 4 Level 3		Level 2	Level 1	
Higher-Level Reviewer Name (Optional):	Higher-Level Reviewer Signature (Optional):			): I	Date:		
Senior Professional Review Panel (SPRP) Recommendation	☐ Level 5	☐ Level 4	☐ Level	13	Level 2	☐ Level 1	
SPRP Chair Name:	SPRP Chair Signature:				Date:		
Rating of Record	Level 5 Outstanding  Level 4 Exceeds Fully Successful Success			,	Level 2 Minimally Satisfactory	Level 1 Unacceptable	
Approving Official's Name:	Approving Official's Signature:			I	Date:		

Part 4. Performance Standards for Critical Elements. Performance toward Critical Elements 1-3 (Project/Program Management, Interpersonal Leadership/Responsibilities, and Leading Innovation) shall be appraised using the performance standards specified below. Within Critical Element 4 (Business Results), the performance objectives must include quality indicators at the Fully Successful Level that reflect the same level of performance as the Level 3 performance standard below. Within Critical Element 5 (Position Specific), if the performance objective is a competency, it is rated using the performance standards described below. If the performance objective is a result, commitment, or activity, it must include quality indicators that reflect the same level of performance as the Level 3 performance standard below. Examples for the top three performance levels can be found in the program description.

- Level 5: The Senior Professional demonstrates exceptional performance, directly contributes toward sustaining organizational excellence, and enhances the ability to achieve results in the Senior Professional's organization, agency, department or Governmentwide. This level represents the highest level of Senior Professional performance, as evidenced by the extraordinary impact on the achievement of the organization's mission. The Senior Professional continually contributes materially to or spearheads agency efforts that address or accomplish important agency goals. The Senior Professional consistently exceeds expectations at the highest level of quality possible, and consistently handles challenges, exceeds targets, and completes high-quality assignments ahead of schedule.
- Level 4: The Senior Professional demonstrates a very high level of performance beyond that required for successful performance in the Senior Professional's position. The Senior Professional often exceeds established performance expectations, timelines, or targets, as applicable. The Senior Professional is consistently highly-effective and delivers high-quality results.
- Level 3: The Senior Professional demonstrates the high level of performance expected of Senior Professionals and the Senior Professional's actions contribute positively toward the achievement of project/program goals and meaningful results. The Senior Professional is effective, dependable and delivers project/program results based on indicators of quality, or measures of quantity, efficiency, and/or effectiveness within agreed upon timelines. The Senior Professional meets and occasionally exceeds challenging performance expectations established for the position.
- Level 2: The Senior Professional's contributions to the organization are acceptable in the short term, but do not appreciably advance the project/program or organization toward achievement of its goals and objectives. While the Senior Professional generally meets established performance expectations, timelines and targets, there are occasional lapses that impair operations and/or cause concern from management. While showing basic ability to accomplish assigned project/program(s), the Senior Professional may demonstrate limited ability to address problems characteristic of the project/program or organization and its work.
- Level 1: In repeated instances, the Senior Professional demonstrates performance deficiencies that detract from project/program goals and objectives or the agency mission. The Senior Professional generally is viewed as ineffectual by agency leadership or peers. The Senior Professional does not meet established performance expectations/timelines/targets and fails to produce or produces unacceptable work products, services, or outcomes.

Part 5. Deriving the Rating of Record. The derivation formula to calculate the Rating of Record is below.							
Critical Element Point Values	Level 5 = 5 points	Level 4 = 4 points	vel 4 = 4 points Level 3 = 3 points Level 2 = 2		points	Level 1 = 0 points	
Critica	Critical Element Critical Element Element Sco		ment Score	e Rating of Record			
		Point Value	Weight			]	Point Ranges
1. Project/Program	Management					475-50	0 = Level  5
2. Interpersonal						400-47	4 = Level  4
Leadership/Respons	sibilities					300-39	9 = Level  3
3. Leading Innovation	on					200-29	9 = Level  2
4. Business Results						Any C	ritical Element rated
5. Position Specific	(Optional)					Level	1 = Level  1
Total	·		100 points				

Part 6. Critical Elements. Each Senior Professional performance plan shall include Critical Elements 1-4; Critical Element 5 is optional for agency use. Critical Element 1: Project/Program Management Weight: points Individual Individual Competencies (check all that apply) Competency Competency Review and select the competencies below that contribute to the SL/ST's Weight, if Rating, if performance toward work assignments or responsibilities. Check all that apply; a applicable (total applicable minimum of one competency must be selected. must equal 100 points) **Decision Making** – Makes sound, well-informed, and objective decisions; perceives the impact and implications of decisions; commits to appropriate action, even DO NOT FILL in uncertain situations, to accomplish work assignments and applicable organizational **Financial Management** – Understands the organization's financial processes. Prepares, justifies, and administers the project/program budget. Oversees procurement DO NOT FILL and contracting to achieve desired results. Monitors expenditures and uses cost-benefit thinking to set priorities. ☐ Information Management – Identifies a need for and knows where or how to DO NOT FILL gather information; organizes and maintains information on information management systems; retrieves and applies information appropriately in various situations. Legal, Government and Jurisprudence – Knowledge of applicable laws, legal codes, court procedures, precedents, legal practices or documents, government DO NOT FILL regulations, executive orders, agency rules, government organization or functions, and/or the democratic political process as they apply to area of responsibility. Planning and Evaluating – Organizes work, sets priorities, and determines resource requirements; determines short- or long- term goals and strategies to achieve DO NOT FILL them; coordinates with other organizations or parts of the organization to accomplish goals; monitors progress and evaluates outcomes. Problem Solving – Identifies problems; determines accuracy and relevance of OO NOT FILL information; uses sound judgment to generate and evaluate alternatives, and to make recommendations. Project Management – Applies principles, methods, or tools for developing, scheduling, coordinating, monitoring, evaluating, and managing projects and resources, including technical performance. Reasoning – Identifies rules, principles, or relationships that explain facts, data, or DO NOT FILI other information; analyzes information and makes correct inferences or draws accurate conclusions. Research – Applies knowledge of the scientific principles, methods, and processes DO NOT FILL used to conduct a systematic and objective inquiry; including study design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; and the reporting of results. **Technical Competence/Subject Matter Expertise** – Uses knowledge that is acquired through formal training or extensive on-the-job experience to perform one's DO NOT FILL job; works with, understands, and evaluates technical information related to the job; advises others on technical issues. **Rating Official Narrative (Optional): Critical Element Rating -**Level 4 Level 3 Level 5 Level 2 Level 1 **Project/Program Management** 

Critical Element 2: Interpersonal Leadership/Responsibilities	Weight: _	Weight: points	
Competencies (check all that apply) Review and select the competencies below that contribute to the SL/ST's performance toward work assignments or responsibilities. Check all that apply; a minimum of one competency must be selected. For agencies seeking certification of their performance appraisal system, the Customer Service competency must be selected.	Individual Competency Weight, if applicable (total must equal 100 points)	Individual Competency Rating, if applicable	
<ul> <li>☐ Collaboration/Partnership – Encourages and facilitates cooperation and trust; fosters commitment; works with others to achieve goals.</li> <li>☐ Conflict Management – Anticipates and takes steps to prevent counter-productive confrontations. Manages and resolves conflicts and disagreements in a constructive manner.</li> </ul>	DO NO	T FILL	
Customer Service – Engages with customers (that is, any individuals who use or receive the services or products the work unit produces, including the general public, individuals who work in the agency, other agencies, or organizations outside the Government) to seek input (assess their needs, obtain information), resolve their problems, or satisfy their expectations. Uses customer input to inform quality products and services.	DO NO	T FILL	
☐ Influencing/Negotiating – Persuades others to accept recommendations, cooperate, or change their behavior, works with others towards an agreement; negotiates to find mutually acceptable solutions.	DO NO	T FILL	
Leadership – Influences, motivates, and challenges others; adapts leadership styles to a variety of situations. Accepts leadership roles as appropriate. Conducts oneself in a manner that sets a positive example.	DO NO	T FILL	
Leveraging Diversity/Civil Rights Compliance – Relates well to people from varied backgrounds and different situations; is sensitive to cultural diversity, race, gender, disabilities, and other individual differences. Complies with all laws, regulations, and agency policies regarding the treatment and acceptance of all individuals. Acts in ways that protect civil rights.	DO NO	T FILL	
Mentorship – Provides guidance, direction, and career advice through mentoring—either a standalone program, part of a training and development program within an organization, or individually. Establishes mentoring relationships with one or more individuals.	DO NO	T FILL	
Political Savvy – Identifies the internal and external politics that impact the work of the Senior Professional or the organization. Perceives organizational and political reality and acts accordingly.	DO NO	T FILL	
Rating Official Narrative (Optional):			
Critical Element Rating –  Interpersonal	3 Level 2	Level 1	

Critical Element 3: Leading Innovation	on			Weight:	points
Competencies Review and select the competencies be toward work assignments or responsib competence	Individual Competency Weight, if applicable (total must equal 100 points)	Individual Competency Rating, if applicable			
☐ Creative Thinking – Uses imaginated applies innovative solutions to problems; and procedures are inapplicable or are una	designs new metho vailable.	ds where establis	hed methods	DO NO	T FILL
Flexibility/Adaptability – Is open to work methods in response to new information obstacles; effectively deals with ambiguity	tion, changing con-			DO NO	T FILL
Organizational Awareness – Knows the organization's mission and functions, and how its social, political, and technological systems work and operates effectively within them; this includes the programs, policies, procedures, rules, and regulations of the organization.				DO NO	T FILL
Strategic Thinking – Formulates effective strategies consistent with the business and competitive strategy of the organization in a global environment; examines policy issues and strategic planning with a long term perspective; determines objectives and sets priorities; anticipates potential threats or opportunities.				DO NO	T FILL
☐ <b>Vision</b> – Takes a long-term view and builds a shared vision with others; acts as a catalyst for change. Influences others to translate vision into action.			acts as a	DO NO	T FILL
Rating Official Narrative (Optional):					
Critical Element Rating – Leading Innovation	Level 5	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1

Critical Element 4:	Business Results				Weight: _	points
Professional during to that are aligned to or policy objectives. At include in this element performance at the Fraguality indicators, appropriate in the profession of the performance at the Fraguality indicators, appropriate included to discommended to also measures for Levels element must contain identified so that it is what is expected for However, the quality not any associated according to the policy of the professional during the policy objectives. At include in this element performance at the Fraguation of the professional during the professiona		y goals and object professionals and the ality indicators defor each result specularity, timelines are level of accompled quality indicators and the Senior Professional to the special accomplession of the special spe	rable outputs and ives, and/or progheir Rating Officescribing the ranguified. In additions, and/or cost-endishment expectors and/or other at the Business Reclearly and differential fessional will be fied result may	d outcomes gram and cials will ge of on to the ffectiveness ed. It is applicable esults critical rentially e rated and be included.	Individual Objective Weight, if applicable (total must equal 100 points)	Individual Objective Rating, if applicable
Agency/Program	<b>Business Results O</b>	bjective 1:				
Goal Alignment:					DO NO	T FILL
Agency/Program Goal Alignment:	<b>Business Results O</b>	bjective 2:			DO NO	T FILL
Agency/Program Goal Alignment:	<b>Business Results O</b>	bjective 3:			DO NO	T FILL
Agency/Program Goal Alignment:	<b>Business Results O</b>	bjective 4:			DO NO	T FILL
Agency/Program Goal Alignment:	Business Results O	bjective 5:			DO NO	T FILL
Rating Official Nar	rative (Optional):			1		
Critical Element l Res	_	Level 5	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1

Appraisa i Citot.					
<b>Critical Element 5: Position Specif</b>	ic (Optional)			Weight:	points
The Position Specific critical element objectives - written as competencies results/commitments/activities - that mandatory critical elements. For exacompetencies or address agency admincluded in this element. This means business results that are contained with plan (i.e., upon which the Senior Profement is not mandatory, but rather aspects of the job the Rating Official assess. For agencies seeking certific critical element must include a perference performance plans with organization performance.	or specific measure are not already acomple, additional rainistrative goals rainistrative goals rainistrative goals rainistrative goals rainistrative goals raition another critic fessional will be rainistration of their performance objective over perspective, a	rable counted for in the esults that support ather than mission may not include co al element in the pated elsewhere). To cy specific or posical determines are formance appraised that holds Superaligning subordinal	previous selected goals may be impetencies or performance the use of this tion specific important to al system, this visory Senior ate	Individual Objective Weight, if applicable (total must equal 100 points)	Individual Objective Rating, if applicable
Position Specific Performance Obj	ective 1:			DO NO	T FILL
Position Specific Performance Obj	ective 2:			DO NO	T FILL
Position Specific Performance Obj	ective 3:			DO NO	T FILL
Position Specific Performance Obj	ective 4:			DO NO	T FILL
Position Specific Performance Obj	ective 5:			DO NO	T FILL
Rating Official Narrative (Optional	<i>I)</i> :				
Critical Element Rating – Position Specific	Level 5	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1

Senior Professional Name and/or ID: Appraisal Period:	
Part 7: Rating Official's Progress Review Narrative (Optional)	
Part 8: Senior Professional's Accomplishment Narrative (Optional)	
•	
Part O. Dating Official's Decommended Dating of Decord Negrotive (Mandatory)	
Part 9: Rating Official's Recommended Rating of Record Narrative (Mandatory)	
Part 10: Reviewing Official's Recommended Rating of Record Narrative (Optional)	
Part 11. Higher-Level Review Narrative (Optional)	
Part 12: Senior Professional Review Panel (SPRP) Narrative (Optional)	
Part 13: Approving Official Narrative (Optional)	
Part 14: Agency Use (Optional)	
1 art 14. Agency Use (Optional)	

## Senior-Level (SL) and Scientific or Professional (ST)

## Appraisal Program Performance Plan Addendum for Multiple Progress Reviews for U.S. Department of Commerce/NOAA

**Appraisal Period: 10/01/2021-09/30/2022** 

**Multiple Progress Reviews.** Each Senior Professional must receive at least one progress review documented on the performance plan. However, agencies may require more frequent progress reviews. Agencies may use this addendum to the SL/ST performance plan to document more frequent formal progress reviews during the appraisal period. The requirement for more frequent progress reviews must also be included in Part VIII of the agency's SL/ST program.

performance plan.	in a conversation regarding progress to	oward meeting the critical elements in the		
Senior Professional's Signature:		Date:		
Rating Official's Name:	Rating Official's Signature:	Date:		
Rating Official's Progress Review N	arrative (Optional)			
Progress Review. I have participated performance plan.  Senior Professional's Signature:	in a conversation regarding progress to	oward meeting the critical elements in the  Date:		
-				
Rating Official's Name:	Rating Official's Signature:	Date:		
Rating Official's Progress Review N	arrative (Optional)			

Senior Professional Name and/or ID:

Progress Review. I have participated in a conversation regarding progress toward meeting the critical elements in the performance plan.

Senior Professional's Signature:

Date:

Rating Official's Name:

Rating Official's Progress Review Narrative (Optional)