APPENDIX B-1 INTERVIEW PROTOCOL

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

BOOZ-ALLEN INTERVIEW GUIDE FOR DEMONSTRATION GROUP INTERVIEWEES

	Spring 2001
INTERVIEWEE'S NAME:	
INTERVIEWEE'S TITLE:	
INTERVIEWER'S NAME:	
I. INTRODUCTION/BACKGI	ROUND
My name is and this is Virginia. We are here today to talk al Management Demonstration Project (We're from Booz·Allen & Hamilton in bout the Department of Commerce's Personnel Demo Project).

As you probably know, DoC initiated the Demo Project in March 1998 as a means of testing whether a series of alternative personnel practices could be more successful than traditional personnel practices in helping DoC achieve its goals. A series of HR interventions were implemented in a subset of the organization. DoC contracted with Booz-Allen to conduct a comprehensive program evaluation of the Demo Project's performance in meeting its objectives.

(INTERVIEWER: Provide the following information if you think that the interviewee needs it.) The Demo Project includes two groups and our assessment compares human resource practices in these two groups. The first group is made up of those work units within DoC where a series of human resources practices have been implemented under the Demo Project. We call this the Demo Group. The second group consists of DoC work units similar to those participating in the Demo Project but who have maintained the traditional human resources practices. We call this the Comparison Group.

The purpose of today's interview is to get your perspectives on how the Demo Project has been operating, now that three years have passed. We are interested in talking to you because of your role as a [NAME THEIR ROLE]]. [INTERVIEWER: Determine whether the person is involved with only the Demo Group, or also has an opportunity to view activity in the Comparison Group.]

Appendix B-1 B-1-1

Any information you share with us today will be held confidential. We will be aggregating results from all interviews and focus groups (held across the nation) and will not be attributing any findings to any individuals or any locations. Booz-Allen will then use the interview data, plus information from our focus groups, survey, and objective data analysis, to evaluate the third year of the Demo Project.

We anticipate that this interview will take about 30-45 minutes. Do you have any questions before we begin?

II. INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

Interviewers: Select the appropriate set(s) of questions based on your understanding of the interviewee's role.

QUESTIONS FOR HR DIRECTORS AND STAFF

(Interviewers: These questions can also be addressed to Administrative Officers who are closely involved with HR functions.)

Recruitment

- 1. Which of these recruitment interventions (INTERVIEWER: SHOW LIST OF RECRUITMENT INTERVENTIONS), if any, have been successful in the past three years in your organization's efforts to attract and hire high quality <u>candidates</u>?
- 2. Has the employee-position fit of new hires improved since the Demo Project started? Is this attributable to any of the Demo Project interventions? If so, which ones and why?
- 3. Has the hiring process—the time from application to offer made—been faster since the Demo Project started? If so, which steps have been more efficient?
- 4. Have you changed your methods of hiring in the past three years? If so, which methods are working best?
- 5. Have you tried using paid advertising for attracting candidates? If so, has it been successful?
- 6. Have you used the flexible starting salaries as a tool to recruit desirable candidates? If so, has it been successful?

Retention

- 7. To what degree is performance-based pay increases encouraging retention?
- 8. To what degree are bonuses encouraging retention?
- 9. In what ways, if any, has offering flexible pay increases with promotions encouraged retention among high performers?
- 10. How have supervisors reacted to the supervisory performance pay intervention?
- 11. Do you believe that pay levels are competitive with other public sector organizations? Are they competitive with private sector organizations?

HR Management

12. How have supervisors reacted to their increased involvement in classification since the Demo Project began? (INTERVIEWER: SUPERVISORS NOW USE THE

B-1-2 Appendix B-1

AUTOMATED CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM TO PROCESS THEIR OWN CLASSIFICATIONS)

- 13. For HR staff, is the delegated authority for classification <u>easier</u> than the previous system? In what ways?
- 14. For HR staff, does the delegated authority for classification allow classification decisions to be made <u>faster</u> to use then the previous system? In what ways?
- 15. What methods are in place to ensure the accuracy of the classification decisions?
- 16. Does the broad-banding classification system require fewer resources to operate?
- 17. Have there been fewer classification decisions under the broad-banding classification system? [INTERVIEWER: The hypothesis is that fewer resources would be used. Broad banding grouped several GS grades together into a pay band; in the traditional GS system, HR would do a classification action to promote the person from one grade to another; in the Demo, movement through the pay band does not require a classification action.]
- 18. Has anything happened in this organization or in the geographic area that had an effect on your ability to hire, pay, and retain staff? [INTERVIEWER: Examples could be a hiring freeze, a reorganization, a RIF or other downsizing, a major employer in the area laying off lots of employees that then become available as candidates to DoC.]

Workforce Diversity

- 19. Have there been any challenges with ensuring that the Demo Project interventions are consistent with workforce diversity objectives?
- 20. Have employees raised any concerns regarding the Demo Project?

QUESTIONS FOR PAY POOL MANAGERS

Process

- 1. Please describe the process that rating officials go through for determining employees' performance ratings. Please discuss how they evaluate employees against the performance elements, rank employees, and contribute to decision-making about performance-based increases and bonuses.
- 2. In what ways, if any, could this performance appraisal process be improved?
- 3. What challenges have you faced in interleaving the rankings of rating officials to produce peer group rankings at the pay pool level?
- 4. What challenges have you faced in using the performance pay table?
- 5. How do you make determinations regarding the distribution of performance-based bonuses?
- 6. What is the extent of your communication (frequency, mode) with rating officials regarding ratings and pay issues?
- 7. What mechanisms are in place to ensure consistency in ratings across rating officials?
- 8. What is the extent of your communication (frequency, mode) with other pay pool managers regarding ratings and pay issues?
- 9. What mechanisms are in place to provide employees with performance feedback or otherwise involve them in the performance management process?

Appendix B-1 B-1-3

10. Did you feel sufficiently prepared or trained to perform as a pay pool manager when you took on these responsibilities? How do you feel now?

Pay-for-Performance Link

- 11. In what ways do the interventions implemented under the Demo Project encourage supervisors to perform better as supervisors?
- 12. In what ways do the interventions implemented under the Demo Project encourage employees to perform better?
- 13. Do you have any suggestions for ways to improve the link between performance and pay?

Recruitment

- 14. Which of these recruitment interventions (INTERVIEWER: SHOW LIST OF RECRUITMENT INTERVENTIONS), if any, have been successful in the past three years in your organization's efforts to attract and hire high quality candidates?
- 15. Has the employee-position fit of new hires improved since the Demo Project started? Is this attributable to any of the Demo Project interventions? If so, which ones and why?
- 16. Has the hiring process—the time from application to offer made—been faster since the Demo Project started? If so, which steps have been more efficient?
- 17. Have you changed your methods of hiring in the past three years? If so, which methods are working best?
- 18. Have you tried using paid advertising for attracting candidates? If so, has it been successful?
- 19. Have you used the flexible starting salaries as a tool to recruit desirable candidates? If so, has it been successful?

Retention

- 20. To what degree is performance-based pay increases encouraging retention?
- 21. To what degree are bonuses encouraging retention?
- 22. In what ways, if any, has offering flexible pay increases with promotions encouraged retention among high performers?
- 23. How have supervisors reacted to the supervisory performance pay intervention?
- 24. Do you believe that pay levels are competitive with other public sector organizations? Are they competitive with private sector organizations?

QUESTIONS FOR RATING OFFICIALS

Performance Management

- 1. What are the benefits and drawbacks of the 100-point performance appraisal system?
- 2. Please describe the process you go through for determining employees' performance rating. Please discuss how you evaluate employees against the performance elements, rank employees, and make decisions about performance-based increases and bonuses.
- 3. *In what ways, if any, could this process be improved?*

B-1-4 Appendix B-1

- 4. What is the extent of your communication (frequency, mode) with other rating officials?
- 5. Are there mechanisms in place to ensure consistency in ratings across rating officials?
- 6. What is the extent of your communication (frequency, mode) with the pay pool manager regarding ratings and pay issues?
- 7. What mechanisms are in place, if any, to provide employees with performance feedback or otherwise involve them in the performance management process?
- 8. How effective are the mid-year reviews with employees?

Pay-for-Performance Link

- 9. In what ways do the interventions implemented under the Demo Project encourage supervisors to perform better as supervisors?
- 10. In what ways do the interventions implemented under the Demo Project encourage employees to perform better?
- 11. Do you have any suggestions for ways to improve the link between performance and pay?

Classification

As a manager, I assume that you have used the automated classification system? I would like to ask you a few questions about your experiences.

- 12. To what degree is the broad-banding classification system easy to use?
- 13. To what degree is the broad-banding classification system time-consuming?
- 14. How do you feel about your increased involvement in the classification process?
- 15. Did you feel sufficiently prepared or trained to perform the classification function at the beginning of the Demo Project? How do you feel now?

Recruitment

- 16. Which of these recruitment interventions (INTERVIEWER: SHOW LIST OF RECRUITMENT INTERVENTIONS), if any, have been successful in the past three years in your organization's efforts to attract and hire high quality candidates?
- 17. Has the employee-position fit of new hires improved since the Demo Project started? Is this attributable to any of the Demo Project interventions? If so, which ones and why?
- 18. Has the hiring process—the time from application to offer made—been faster since the Demo Project started? If so, which steps have been more efficient?
- 19. Have you changed your methods of hiring in the past three years? If so, which methods are working best?
- 20. Have you tried using paid advertising for attracting candidates? If so, has it been successful?
- 21. Have you used the flexible starting salaries as a tool to recruit desirable candidates? If so, has it been successful?

Retention

22. To what degree is performance-based pay increases encouraging retention?

Appendix B-1 B-1-5

- 23. To what degree are bonuses encouraging retention?
- 24. In what ways, if any, has offering flexible pay increases with promotions encouraged retention among high performers?
- 25. How have supervisors reacted to the supervisory performance pay intervention?
- 26. Do you believe that pay levels are competitive with other public sector organizations? Are they competitive with private sector organizations?

QUESTIONS FOR DIRECTORS AND ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICERS

- 1. Which of these recruitment interventions (INTERVIEWER: SHOW LIST OF RECRUITMENT INTERVENTIONS), if any, have been successful in the past three years in your organization's efforts to attract and hire high quality candidates?
- 2. Which of these retention interventions (INTERVIEWER: SHOW LIST OF RETENTION INTERVENTIONS), if any, have been successful in the past three years in your organization's efforts to retain high performing employees?
- 3. Have managers performed effectively as pay pool managers? Have there been any challenges to acclimating them to this role?
- 4. Have managers performed effectively as rating officials? Have there been any challenges to acclimating them to this role?
- 5. Have you noticed improvements in <u>individual</u> performance since the Demo Project began? If yes, do you think this is attributable to any of the interventions? If so, which ones and how?
- 6. Have you noticed improvements in <u>organizational</u> performance since the Demo Project began? If yes, do you think this is attributable to any of the interventions? If so, which ones and how?
- 7. Have there been any challenges with ensuring that the Demo Project interventions are consistent with workforce diversity objectives?
- 8. In hindsight, would you do anything differently in terms of how the objectives and processes of the Demo Project were initially communicated to employees and supervisors?
- 9. Has the Demo Project caused any issues for management that were not foreseen?
- 10. Have there been any unanticipated benefits of the Demo Project?
- 11. Do you have any suggestions for ways in which the Demo Project interventions could be improved, should they be applied longer term or in other parts of DoC?
- 12. Has anything happened in this organization or in the geographic area that had an effect on your ability to hire, pay, and retain staff? [INTERVIEWER: Examples could be a hiring freeze, a reorganization, a RIF or other downsizing, a major employer in the area laying off lots of employees that then become available as candidates to DoC.]

QUESTIONS FOR EEO STAFF OR REPRESENTATIVES

1. Do you feel that any of the Demo Project interventions have had a <u>positive</u> impact on minorities, women, or veterans in the Demo Project? If yes, how? (INTERVIEWER: SHOW LIST OF INTERVENTIONS)

B-1-6 Appendix B-1

- 2. Do you feel that any of the human resource practices implemented as part of the Demo Project have had a <u>negative</u> impact on minorities, women, or veterans in the Demo Project? If yes, how?
- 3. What are the biggest challenges with ensuring that the Demo Project interventions are consistent with workforce diversity objectives?
- 4. Have employees raised any concerns regarding the Demo Project?
- 5. Are there any differences in how the nine Merit System Principles are followed under the Demo Project versus how they are typically followed elsewhere in DoC? If yes, how?
- 6. Are there any differences in how the twelve Prohibited Personnel Practices are avoided under the Demo Project versus how they are typically avoided elsewhere in DoC? If yes, how?
- 7. Overall, does the Demo Project promote building and maintaining a diverse workforce?
- 8. Under the Demo Project, are the contributions of all employees respected and maximized?

III. CLOSING

This brings us to the end of our questions. Before we leave, is there anything we haven't covered, or anything you would like to add? (Interviewers: track these closing comments as well.)

Thank you for participating in this interview session. The information we discussed will be very useful in helping us evaluate the Demo Project. As we stated earlier, all information collected today will be held confidential and your results will be aggregated with those of other interviews. The results from all the interviews, and other data collection efforts, will be included in our Year Three evaluation report that we will submit to DoC and the Office of Personnel Management later this spring.

Appendix B-1 B-1-7

APPENDIX B-2 FOCUS GROUP PROTOCOLS

THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

FACILITATORS' FOCUS GROUP PROTOCOL

For

DEMONSTRATION GROUP NON-SUPERVISORS

Spring 2001

PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT DEMONSTRATION PROJECT FOCUS GROUP PROTOCOL

DEMONSTRATION GROUP, NON-SUPERVISORS

I. INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND

> Welcome and overview of session

Hello everybody. My name is <u>(facilitator)</u> and this is <u>(co-facilitator)</u>. We are from Booz-Allen and Hamilton, a management consulting firm in McLean, VA. We will be leading today's discussion about the Department of Commerce's Personnel Management Demonstration Project (Demo Project).

Demonstration Project Background

We would like to start by briefly talking about the Demo Project. As you probably know, DoC initiated the Demo Project in March 1998 as a means of testing whether a series of alternative personnel practices could be more successful than traditional personnel practices in helping DoC achieve its goals. A series of HR interventions were implemented in a subset of the organization. DoC contracted with Booz-Allen to conduct a comprehensive program evaluation of the Demo Project's performance in meeting its objectives.

The Demo Project includes two groups and our assessment compares human resource practices in these two groups. The first group is made up of those work units within DoC where a series of human resources practices have been implemented under the Demo Project. We call this the Demo Group. The second group consists of DoC work units similar to those participating in the Demo Project but who have maintained the traditional human resources practices. This group is a Demo Group.

Purpose of the Focus Group

The intent of this focus group is to gain an understanding of specific human resource practices within the Department of Commerce from the perspective of employees. We would like to identify how these practices impact employees and their work units. Also we would like to hear from you about any past successes and/or possible future improvements to human resource practices. In particular, we will be talking about:

B-2-2 Appendix B-2

- A. Performance Management
- B. Career Paths
- C. Hiring/Recruitment
- D. Turnover and Retention
- E. Quality of Workforce
- F. Organizational Excellence and Workforce Diversity

Information from this focus group will be combined with the information collected from the focus groups taking place in other locations. Booz-Allen will then use all the focus group data, plus information from surveys, interviews, and other sources, to evaluate the third year of the Demonstration Project.

> Roles

Booz-Allen's role in the Demonstration Project is as an objective, third party evaluator. Booz-Allen was not involved in the design of the Demonstration Project's new human resources practices. The DoC has been responsible for implementing the new practices, with oversight provided by the Office of Personnel Management. Booz-Allen's job is to collect information and act as an impartial evaluator of how the new human resources practices are working.

> Focus group participant introductions

Before we continue, we would like to find out who you are. If you would, please tell us your name, your organization, and department, and how long you've been with DoC.

> Participant Selection

[USE THIS PARAGRAPH FOR THE REGULAR NON-SUPERVISOR SESSIONS:] I imagine that you are interested in knowing how you were invited to join today's session. We were given a list of employees at this location and from this list we randomly selected names. For this focus group, we selected names of non-supervisors. To be sure that we sampled names correctly, I want to make sure everyone here belongs in this group. Is there anyone here who is a supervisor? (FACILITATOR'S NOTE: If so, thank them for their time and excuse them from the session. Note that we defined supervisors as those who conduct performance appraisals of others.)

[USE THE SHADED TEXT ONLY IN ALL MINORITY OR ALL FEMALE SESSIONS:] You may have noticed as we went around the room that our group is rather homogenous. In fact, this is by design. We were given a list of employees at this location and from this list we randomly selected names based on [MINORITY/GENDER].

The reason that we did this is because we want to assess the impact of the Demo Project's human resources interventions on [MINORITY/FEMALE] employees. Our discussion today will be included as part of our overall data collection efforts but will also allow us to

compare the perceptions of [MINORITY/FEMALE] employees with the perceptions of employees overall.

We are also conducting other focus groups across the United States. We are meeting with employees in the Demo Group as well as the Comparison Group.

(FACILITATOR'S NOTE: State the following only at sites at which it applies.) While we are here, we also will be conduct another focus group with supervisors and will be asking them similar questions.

We also are conducting focus groups at several other locations across the United States. We are meeting with employees in the Demo Group as well as the Comparison Group. (Facilitator's note: Bring your copy of the list showing where we are conducting focus groups in case anyone asks.)

Confidentiality

Any information you share with us today will be held confidential. We will be aggregating results from all focus groups and will not be attributing comments to any particular groups.

We also ask that anything said in this group remains in this room. We want everyone to feel comfortable about talking, which means that we have to agree not to discuss what was said here today. Is everyone comfortable with that?

> Timing

Today's focus group will last approximately 2 hours. We will take one 15-minute break during this session.

Are there any questions before we get started?

II. DISCUSSION

A. Performance Management

Performance Appraisal System

Our first set of questions pertains to the performance appraisal system.

1. Does the current performance appraisal process provide you with an appropriate amount of feedback regarding your job performance? If yes, how so? If not, what is missing?

B-2-4 Appendix B-2

- 2. Is it important for you to know how you are performing in relation to your peers? If so, does the current system provide you with this type of information?
- 3. To what degree are the consequences of poor performance and the rewards of good performance made clear to employees?
- 4. Has your <u>understanding</u> of the performance appraisal system enacted under the Demo Project improved, stayed the same, or lessened over the past three years since the Demo Project was implemented?
- 5. If you could modify aspects of the current performance appraisal system to better meet your needs, what changes would you make?

Pay-for-Performance

Next, I have a series of questions for you about pay and performance.

- 6. Do you feel that your pay has increased during the three years that you have been in the Demo Project more quickly than it would have under the traditional GS system?
- 7. In your opinion, how important is your performance rating in determining what your pay increase will be?
- 8. Do you believe that larger pay raises are given to people who perform better?
- 9. Do factors other than performance contribute to an employee's salary?
- 10. What impact has the pay-for-performance system had on motivating employees to perform better?
- 11. What could DoC do in the future to improve the link between an employee's pay and his/her performance?

Performance Bonuses

Our next set of questions pertains to performance bonuses.

- 12. Does your work unit distribute performance bonuses?
- 13. Do performance bonuses motivate employees to perform better?

14. Would you say that performance bonuses are distributed fairly, that is, are bonuses linked exclusively to performance, with no role for favoritism or other non-performance factors?

B. Career Paths

As you know, within the Demo Project, positions were grouped into one of four career paths—ZP for scientists and engineers, ZT for scientific and engineering technicians, ZA for administrative positions, and ZS for support positions. We have a question for you about how these career paths are working.

15. In what ways have the career paths improved your opportunity to progress in your career as you would like?

C. Hiring/Recruitment

Our next set of questions pertains to hiring and recruitment.

- 16. Have you noticed any differences in the past three years in your work unit's ability to attract and hire high quality <u>candidates</u>?
- 17. Have you noticed any differences in the quality of <u>new hires</u> since the Demo Project began?
- 18. Do you have suggestions of other strategies that could be employed for attracting and hiring high quality employees?

(BREAK: 5-15 MIN)

D. Turnover and Retention

Our next set of questions pertains to turnover and retention.

- 19. How much of an issue is turnover within your work unit? Within your organization?
- 20. What accounts for the turnover experienced here?
- 21. In what ways are <u>high performing</u> employees encouraged to stay with DoC?
- 22. Have any of the following personnel changes made as part of the Demo Project helped DoC retain <u>high performing</u> employees? If so, which ones and how?

B-2-6 Appendix B-2

- performance-based pay increases
- performance-based bonuses
- potential for pay increases with promotion
- 23. Do you have suggestions of other strategies that could be employed for retaining <u>high performing employees?</u>

E. Quality of Workforce

The next few questions pertain to the quality of the workforce.

- 24. Thinking about the fact that the Demo Project was designed to improve performance, has employee performance improved, stayed the same, or worsened over the past three years since the Demo Project was implemented?
- 25. Do you have suggestions of strategies that could be employed for improving the workforce's performance?
- F. Organizational Excellence and Workforce Diversity (pass out listing of the nine Merit System Principles and to avoid the 12 Prohibited Personnel Practices)

We have several questions about organizational excellence and workforce diversity.

- 26. Have there been any changes in the ways in which the nine Merit System Principles are followed under the Demo Project versus how they are typically followed elsewhere in DoC? If yes, how?
- 27. Have there been any changes in the ways in which the twelve Prohibited Personnel Practices are avoided under the Demo Project versus how they are typically avoided elsewhere in DoC? If yes, how?
- 28. Do you feel that any of the human resource practices implemented as part of the Demo Project have had a <u>positive</u> impact on minorities, women, or veterans in the Demonstration Project? If yes, how?
- 29. Do you feel that any of the human resource practices implemented as part of the Demo Project have had a <u>negative</u> impact on minorities, women, or veterans in the Demonstration Project? If yes, how?

G. Outstanding Issues

30. Before we end today, do you have any other issues regarding the Demonstration Project that we have not covered that you would like us to know?

III. CLOSING

In closing, we want to again mention that your comments will be held confidential. We will be combining information that we gather in the focus groups with information gathered from the survey and interviews. We will then prepare our Year Three evaluation report and will submit it to DoC and the Office of Personnel Management later this spring.

Thank you for participating in today's session. We appreciate your taking the time and sharing your ideas with us!

B-2-8 Appendix B-2

THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

FACILITATORS' FOCUS GROUP PROTOCOL

For

DEMONSTRATION GROUP SUPERVISORS

Spring 2001

PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT DEMONSTRATION PROJECT FOCUS GROUP PROTOCOL

DEMONSTRATION GROUP, SUPERVISORS

I. INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND

> Welcome and overview of session

Hello everybody. My name is <u>(facilitator)</u> and this is <u>(co-facilitator)</u>. We are from Booz-Allen and Hamilton, a management consulting firm in McLean, VA. We will be leading today's discussion about the Department of Commerce's Personnel Management Demonstration Project (Demo Project).

Demo Project Background

We would like to start by briefly talking about the Demo Project. As you probably know, DoC initiated the Demo Project in March 1998 as a means of testing whether a series of alternative personnel practices could be more successful than traditional personnel practices in helping DoC achieve its goals. A series of HR interventions were implemented in a subset of the organization. DoC contracted with Booz-Allen to conduct a comprehensive program evaluation of the Demo Project's performance in meeting its objectives.

The Demo Project includes two groups and our assessment compares human resource practices in these two groups. The first group is made up of those work units within DoC where a series of human resources practices have been implemented under the Demo Project. We call this the Demo Group. The second group consists of DoC work units similar to those participating in the Demo Project but who have maintained the traditional human resources practices. We call this the Comparison Group. All of you here today are part of the first group, the Demo Group.

> Purpose of the Focus Group

The intent of this focus group is to gain an understanding of specific human resource practices within the Department of Commerce from the perspective of supervisors. We would like to identify how these practices impact supervisors and their work units. Also we would like to hear from you about any past successes and/or possible future improvements to human resource practices. In particular, we will be talking about:

B-2-10 Appendix B-2

- A. Performance Management
- B. Career Paths
- C. Classification
- D. Hiring/Recruitment
- E. Turnover and Retention
- F. Quality of Workforce
- G. Organizational Excellence and Workforce Diversity

Information from this focus group will be combined with the information collected from the focus groups taking place in other locations. Booz-Allen will then use all the focus group data, plus information from surveys, interviews, and other sources, to evaluate the third year of the Demo Project.

> Roles

Booz-Allen's role in the Demo Project is as an objective, third party evaluator. Booz-Allen was not involved in the design of the Demo Project's new human resources practices. The DoC has been responsible for implementing the new practices, with oversight provided by the Office of Personnel Management. Booz-Allen's job is to collect information and act as an impartial evaluator of how the new human resources practices are working.

> Focus group participant introductions

Before we continue, we would like to find out who you are. If you would, please tell us your name, your organization, and department, and how long you've been with DoC.

> Participant Selection

I imagine that you are interested in knowing how you were invited to join today's session. We were given a list of employees at this location and from this list we randomly selected names. For this focus group, we selected names of supervisors only. To be sure that we sampled names correctly, I want to make sure everyone here belongs in this group. Is there anyone here who is not a supervisor? (Facilitator's note: If so, thank them for their time and excuse them from the session. Note that we defined supervisors as those who conduct performance appraisals of others.)

(Facilitator's note: State the following only at sites in which it applies.) While we are here, we also will be conducting other focus group with non-supervisors and we will be asking them similar questions.

We are also conducting focus groups at several other locations across the United States. We are meeting with employees in the Demo Group as well as the Comparison Group. (Facilitator's note: Bring your copy of the list showing where we are conducting focus groups in case anyone asks.)

> Confidentiality

Any information you share with us today will be held confidential. We will be aggregating results from all focus groups and will not be attributing comments to any particular groups.

We also ask that anything said in this group remains in this room. We want everyone to feel comfortable about talking, which means that we have to agree not to discuss what was said here today. Is everyone comfortable with that?

> Timing

Today's focus group will last approximately 2 hours. We will take one 15-minute break during this session.

Are there any questions before we get started?

II. DISCUSSION

A. Performance Management

Performance Appraisal System

Our first set of questions pertains to the performance appraisal system.

- 1. Does the current performance appraisal process enable you to accurately evaluate the performance of your employees? If yes, how so? If not, what is missing?
- 2. Does the current performance appraisal process create an opportunity for you to provide your employees with relevant feedback regarding their performance? If yes, how? If no, how could it be improved?
- 3. From the perspective of a supervisor, in what ways is the current performance appraisal process easier or more difficult to use than the traditional process?
- 4. To what degree are the consequences of poor performance and the rewards of good performance made clear to employees?
- 5. Has your <u>understanding</u> of the performance appraisal system enacted under the Demo Project improved, stayed the same, or lessened over the past three years since the Demo Project was implemented?

B-2-12 Appendix B-2

Pay-for-Performance

Next, I have a series of questions for you about pay and performance.

- 6. Do you feel that employees' pay has increased during the three years that they have been in the Demo Project more quickly than it would have under the traditional GS system?
- 7. Do you believe that larger pay raises are given to employees who perform better?
- 8. Do factors other than performance contribute to an employee's salary?
- 9. What impact has the pay-for-performance system had on motivating employees to perform better?
- 10. What could DoC do in the future to improve the link between an employee's pay and his/her performance?

Supervisory Pay

We have a couple of questions for you regarding supervisory performance pay.

- 11. Does the supervisory performance pay intervention motivate supervisors to perform better as supervisors? If yes, how? If no, what would be a better motivator?
- 12. *In what ways, if any, could the supervisory performance pay intervention be improved?*

Performance Bonuses

Our next set of questions pertains to performance bonuses.

- 13. Does your work unit distribute performance bonuses?
- 14. Do you think that performance bonuses motivate employees to perform better?
- 15. Would you say that performance bonuses are distributed fairly, that is, are bonuses linked exclusively to performance, with no role for favoritism or other non-performance factors?

16. What role do you as a supervisor, have in the distribution of performance bonuses and/or the amount? Would you increase your role or decrease your role in this process? What would you change?

B. Career Paths

As you know, within the Demo Project, positions were grouped into one of four career paths—ZP for scientists and engineers, ZT for scientific and engineering technicians, ZA for administrative positions, and ZS for support positions. We have a question for you about how these career paths are working.

17. In what ways have the career paths improved employees' opportunity to progress in their career as they would like? Is this different for supervisors? How?

C. Classification

We have one question about the job classification process.

18. Are you using the automated job classification system? If yes, how effective is the system? How easy is the system?

D. Hiring/Recruitment

Our next set of questions pertains to hiring and recruitment.

- 19. Have you noticed any differences in the past three years in your ability to attract and hire high quality <u>candidates</u>? What techniques have worked well for you in attracting high quality <u>candidates</u>?
- 20. Have you noticed any differences in the past three years in the quality of <u>new hires</u>?
- 21. Have you lost a qualified candidate because he/she was made a better offer somewhere else? If yes, did this offer come from a federal or a private sector organization? Do you know what factors led this candidate to choose the other opportunity?
- 22. Do you have suggestions of other strategies that could be employed for attracting and hiring high quality employees?

(BREAK: 5-15 MIN)

B-2-14 Appendix B-2

E. Turnover and Retention

Our next set of questions pertains to turnover and retention.

- 23. How much of an issue is turnover within your work unit? Within your organization? (Note: if there is turnover, ask:) In what ways, if any, has this impacted the morale in your work unit?
- 24. What accounts for the turnover experienced here?
- 25. Have your lost <u>high performing</u> employees to opportunities outside of the Federal Government?
- 26. In what ways have you encouraged <u>high performing</u> employees to stay with DoC?
- 27. Have any of the following personnel changes made as part of the Demo Project helped DoC retain <u>high performing</u> employees? If so, which ones and how?
 - performance-based pay increases
 - performance-based bonuses
 - potential for pay increases with promotion
 - retention bonuses
- 28. Do you have suggestions of other strategies that could be employed for retaining <u>high performing</u> employees?

F. Quality of Workforce

The next few questions pertain to the quality of the workforce.

- 29. Thinking about the fact that the Demo Project was designed to improve performance, has employee performance improved, stayed the same, or worsened over the past three years since the Demo Project was implemented?
- 30. Do you have suggestions of strategies that could be employed for improving the workforce's performance?
- **G. Organizational Excellence and Workforce Diversity** (pass out listing of the nine Merit System Principles and the 12 Prohibited Personnel Practices)

We have several questions about organizational excellence and workforce diversity.

- 31. Are there any differences in how the nine Merit System Principles are followed under the Demo Project versus how they are typically followed elsewhere in DoC? If yes, how?
- 32. Are there any differences in how the twelve Prohibited Personnel Practices are avoided under the Demo Project versus how they are typically avoided elsewhere in DoC? If yes, how?
- 33. Do you feel that any of the human resource practices implemented as part of the Demo Project have had a <u>positive</u> impact on minorities, women, or veterans in the Demo Project? If yes, how?
- 34. Do you feel that any of the human resource practices implemented as part of the Demo Project have had a <u>negative</u> impact on minorities, women, or veterans in the Demo Project? If yes, how?

H. Outstanding Issues

I have just a few final questions for you.

- 35. Do you feel restricted by any of the new human resource practices introduced as part of the Demo Project? If yes, which ones and how?
- 36. Before we end today, do you have any other issues regarding the Demo Project that we have not covered that you would like us to know?

III. CLOSING

In closing, we want to again mention that your comments will be held confidential. We will be combining information that we gather in the focus groups with information gathered from the survey and interviews. We will then prepare our Year Three evaluation report and will submit it to DoC and the Office of Personnel Management later this spring.

Thank you for participating in today's session. We appreciate your taking the time and sharing your ideas with us!

B-2-16 Appendix B-2

THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

FACILITATORS' FOCUS GROUP PROTOCOL

For

COMPARISON GROUP NON-SUPERVISORS

Spring 2001

PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT DEMONSTRATION PROJECT FOCUS GROUP PROTOCOL

COMPARISON GROUP, NON-SUPERVISORS

I. INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND

> Welcome And Overview Of Session

Hello everybody. My name is <u>(facilitator)</u> and this is <u>(co-facilitator)</u>. We are from Booz-Allen and Hamilton, a management consulting firm in McLean, VA. We will be leading today's discussion about the Department of Commerce's Personnel Management Demonstration Project (Demo Project).

Demo Project Background

We would like to start by briefly talking about the Demo Project. As you may know, DoC initiated the Demo Project in March 1998 as a means of testing whether a series of alternative personnel practices could be more successful than traditional personnel practices in helping DoC achieve its goals. A series of HR interventions were implemented in a subset of the organization. DoC contracted with Booz-Allen to conduct a comprehensive program evaluation of the Demo Project's performance in meeting its objectives.

The Demo Project includes two groups and our assessment compares human resource practices in these two groups. The first group is made up of those work units within DoC where a series of human resources practices have been implemented under the Demo Project. We call this the Demo Group. The second group consists of DoC work units similar to those participating in the Demo Project but who have maintained the traditional human resources practices. We call this the Comparison Group. All of you here today are part of the second group, the Comparison Group. So, your work units are still following the traditional HR policies and practices; they have not implemented the interventions that are being tested in other parts of DoC.

> Purpose of the Focus Group

The intent of this focus group is to gain an understanding of specific human resource practices within the Department of Commerce from the perspective of employees. We would like to identify how these practices impact employees and their work units. Also we would like to hear from you about any past successes and/or possible future improvements to human resource practices. In particular, we will be talking about:

B-2-18 Appendix B-2

- A. Performance Management
- B. Career Paths
- C. Hiring/Recruitment
- D. Turnover and Retention
- E. Organizational Excellence and Workforce Diversity

Information from this focus group will be combined with the information collected from the focus groups taking place in other locations. Booz-Allen will then use all the focus group data, plus information from surveys, interviews, and other sources, to evaluate the third year of the Demo Project.

> Roles

Booz-Allen's role in the Demo Project is as an objective, third party evaluator. Booz-Allen was not involved in the design of the Demo Project's new human resources practices. The DoC has been responsible for implementing the new practices, with oversight provided by the Office of Personnel Management. Booz-Allen's job is to collect information and act as an impartial evaluator of how the new human resources practices are working.

> Focus Group Participant Introductions

Before we continue, we would like to find out who you are. If you would, please tell us your name, your organization, and department, and how long you've been with DoC.

> Participant Selection

I imagine that you are interested in knowing how you were invited to join today's session. We were given a list of employees at this location and from this list we randomly selected names. For this focus group, we selected names of non-supervisors. To be sure that we sampled names correctly, I want to make sure everyone here belongs in this group. Is there anyone here who is a supervisor? (Facilitator's note: If so, thank them for their time and excuse them from the session. Note that we defined supervisors as those who conduct performance appraisals of others.)

(Facilitator's note: State the following only at sites in which it applies.) While we are here, we also will be conducting another focus group with supervisors and will be asking them similar questions.

We also are conducting focus groups at several other locations across the United States. We are meeting with employees in the Demo Group as well as the Comparison Group. (Facilitator's note: Bring your copy of the list showing where we are conducting focus groups in case anyone asks.)

> Confidentiality

Any information you share with us today will be held confidential. We will be aggregating results from all focus groups and will not be attributing comments to any particular groups.

We also ask that anything said in this group remains in this room. We want everyone to feel comfortable about talking, which means that we have to agree not to discuss what was said here today. Is everyone comfortable with that?

> Timing

Today's focus group will last approximately 2 hours. We will take one 15-minute break during this session.

Are there any questions before we get started?

II. DISCUSSION

A. Performance Management

Performance Appraisal System

Our first set of questions pertains to the performance appraisal system.

- 1. Does the current performance appraisal process provide you with an appropriate amount of feedback regarding your job performance? If yes, how so? If not, what is missing?
- 2. Is it important for you to know how you are performing in relation to your peers? If so, does the current system provide you with this type of information?
- 3. To what degree are the consequences of poor performance and the rewards of good performance made clear to employees?
- 4. If you could modify aspects of the current performance appraisal system to better meet your needs, what changes would you make?

Pay and Performance

Next, I have a series of questions for you about pay and performance.

- 5. *In what ways are employees rewarded for performing well?*
- 6. Would a pay-for-performance system motivate you to perform better?

B-2-20 Appendix B-2

7. What could DoC do in the future to improve the link between an employee's pay and his/her performance?

Awards

Our next set of questions pertains to awards.

- 8. Does your work unit distribute awards? If so, what types of accomplishments result in awards?
- 9. Do awards motivate employees to perform better?
- 10. Would you say that awards are distributed fairly, that is, are awards linked exclusively to performance, with no role for favoritism or other non-performance factors?

B. Career Progression

The next question relates to your ability to progress in your career.

11. Are there personnel practices that affect your opportunity to progress in your career as you would like?

C. Hiring/Recruitment

Our next set of questions pertains to hiring and recruitment.

- 12. What types of activities do you see being used to attract and hire high quality candidates?
- 13. Do you have suggestions of other strategies that could be employed for attracting and hiring high quality employees?

(BREAK: 5-15 MIN)

D. Turnover and Retention

Our next set of questions pertains to turnover and retention.

- 14. How much of an issue is turnover within your work unit? Within your organization?
- 15. What accounts for the turnover experienced here?

- 16. *In what ways are <u>high performing</u> employees encouraged to stay with DoC?*
- 17. Do you have suggestions of other strategies that could be employed for retaining <u>high performing employees?</u>
- E. Organizational Excellence and Workforce Diversity (pass out listing of the nine Merit System Principles and to avoid the 12 Prohibited Personnel Practices)

We have several questions about organizational excellence and workforce diversity.

- 18. *Are the nine Merit System Principles followed in your work units?*
- 19. Are the twelve Prohibited Personnel Practices avoided in your work units?
- 20. Do you feel that any of the human resource practices we have discussed have had a <u>positive</u> impact on minorities, women, or veterans within DoC? If yes, how?
- 21. Do you feel that any of the human resource practices we have discussed have had a <u>negative</u> impact on minorities, women, or veterans within DoC? If yes, how?

F. Outstanding Issues

22. Before we end today, do you have any other issues regarding the human resources practices that we have not covered that you would like us to know?

III. CLOSING

In closing, we want to again mention that your comments will be held confidential. We will be combining information that we gather in the focus groups with information gathered from the survey and interviews. We will then prepare our Year Three evaluation report and will submit it to DoC and the Office of Personnel Management later this spring.

Thank you for participating in today's session. We appreciate your taking the time and sharing your ideas with us!

B-2-22 Appendix B-2

THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

FACILITATORS' FOCUS GROUP PROTOCOL

For

COMPARISON GROUP SUPERVISORS

Spring 2001

PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT DEMONSTRATION PROJECT FOCUS GROUP PROTOCOL

COMPARISON GROUP, SUPERVISORS

I. INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND

> Welcome And Overview Of Session

Hello everybody. My name is (<u>facilitator</u>) and this is (<u>co-facilitator</u>). We are from Booz-Allen and Hamilton, a management consulting firm in McLean, VA. We will be leading today's discussion about the Department of Commerce's Personnel Management Demonstration Project (Demo Project).

> Demo Project Background

We would like to start by briefly talking about the Demo Project. As you probably know, DoC initiated the Demo Project in March 1998 as a means of testing whether a series of alternative personnel practices could be more successful than traditional personnel practices in helping DoC achieve its goals. A series of HR interventions were implemented in a subset of the organization. DoC contracted with Booz-Allen to conduct a comprehensive program evaluation of the Demo Project's performance in meeting its objectives.

The Demo Project includes two groups and our assessment compares human resource practices in these two groups. The first group is made up of those work units within DoC where a series of human resources practices have been implemented under the Demo Project. We call this the Demo Group. The second group consists of DoC work units similar to those participating in the Demo Project but who have maintained the traditional human resources practices. We call this the Comparison Group. All of you here today are part of the second group, the Comparison Group. So, your work units are still following the traditional HR policies and practices; they have not implemented the interventions that are being tested in other parts of DoC.

> Purpose of the Focus Group

The intent of this focus group is to gain an understanding of specific human resource practices within the Department of Commerce from the perspective of supervisors. We would like to identify how these practices impact supervisors and their work units. Also we would like to hear from you about any past successes and/or possible future improvements to human resource practices. In particular, we will be talking about:

B-2-24 Appendix B-2

- A. Performance Management
- B. Career Progression
- C. Classification
- D. Hiring/Recruitment
- E. Turnover and Retention
- F. Quality of Workforce
- G. Organizational Excellence and Workforce Diversity

Information from this focus group will be combined with the information collected from the focus groups taking place in other locations. Booz-Allen will then use all the focus group data, plus information from surveys, interviews, and other sources, to evaluate the third year of the Demo Project.

> Roles

Booz-Allen's role in the Demo Project is as an objective, third party evaluator. Booz-Allen was not involved in the design of the Demo Project's new human resources practices. The DoC has been responsible for implementing the new practices, with oversight provided by the Office of Personnel Management. Booz-Allen's job is to collect information and act as an impartial evaluator of how the new human resources practices are working.

> Focus Group Participant Introductions

Before we continue, we would like to find out who you are. If you would, please tell us your name, your organization, the department you work in, and how long you've been with DoC.

> Participant Selection

I imagine that you are interested in knowing how you were invited to join today's session. We were given a list of employees at this location and from this list we randomly selected names. For this focus group, we selected names of supervisors only. To be sure that we sampled names correctly, I want to make sure everyone here belongs in this group. Is there anyone here who is not a supervisor? (Facilitator's note: If so, thank them for their time and excuse them from the session. Note that we defined supervisors as those who conduct performance appraisals of others.)

(Facilitator's note: State the following only at sites in which it applies.) While we are here, we also will be conducting other focus group with non-supervisors and we will be asking them similar questions.

We are also conducting focus groups at several other locations across the United States. We are meeting with employees in the Demo Group as well as the Comparison Group. (Facilitator's note: Bring your copy of the list showing where we are conducting focus groups in case anyone asks.)

> Confidentiality

Any information you share with us today will be held confidential. We will be aggregating results from all focus groups and will not be attributing comments to any particular groups.

We also ask that anything said in this group remains in this room. We want everyone to feel comfortable about talking, which means that we have to agree not to discuss what was said here today. Is everyone comfortable with that?

> Timing

Today's focus group will last approximately 2 hours. We will take one 15-minute break during this session.

Are there any questions before we get started?

II. DISCUSSION

A. Performance Management

Performance Appraisal System

Our first set of questions pertains to the performance appraisal system.

- 1. Does the current performance appraisal process enable you to accurately evaluate the performance of your employees? If yes, how so? If not, what is missing?
- 2. Does the current performance appraisal process create an opportunity for you to provide your employees with relevant feedback regarding their performance? If yes, how? If no, how could it be improved?
- 3. From your perspective as a supervisor, in what ways is the current performance appraisal process easy or difficult to use?
- 4. To what degree are the consequences of poor performance and the rewards of good performance made clear to employees?

Pay and Performance

Next, I have a series of questions for you about pay and performance.

5. How do you reward high performers?

B-2-26 Appendix B-2

- 6. Would a pay-for-performance system help you to reward your high performers?
- 7. What challenges do you foresee in managing employees under a payfor-performance system?
- 8. What could DoC do in the future to improve the link between an employee's pay and his/her performance?

Supervisory Performance

We have a question for you regarding supervisory performance.

- 9. What techniques do you use now to encourage supervisors to improve their performance as supervisors?
- 10. What techniques could be used?

Awards

Our next set of questions pertains to awards.

- 11. *Does your work unit distribute awards?*
- 12. Do awards motivate employees to perform better?
- 13. Would you say that awards are distributed fairly, that is, are awards linked exclusively to performance, with no role for favoritism or other non-performance factors?
- 14. What role do you as a supervisor, have in the distribution of awards and/or the amount? Would you increase your role or decrease your role in this process? What would you change?

B. Career Progression

The next question relates to your ability to progress in your career.

15. Are there personnel practices that affect your opportunity to progress in your career as you would like?

C. Classification

16. What role do you have in job classification?

D. Hiring/Recruitment

Our next set of questions pertains to hiring and recruitment.

- 17. Have you noticed any differences in the past three years in your ability to attract and hire high quality <u>candidates</u>? What techniques have worked well for you in attracting high quality <u>candidates</u>?
- 18. Have you noticed any differences in the past three years in the quality of <u>new hires</u>?
- 19. Have you lost a qualified candidate because he/she was made a better offer somewhere else? If yes, did this offer come from a federal or a private sector organization? Do you know what factors led this candidate to choose the other opportunity?
- 20. Do you have suggestions of other strategies that could be employed for attracting and hiring high quality employees?

(BREAK: 5-15 MIN)

E. Turnover and Retention

Our next set of questions pertains to turnover and retention.

- 21. How much of an issue is turnover within your work unit? Within your organization? (Note: if there is turnover, ask:) In what ways, if any, has this impacted the morale in your work unit?
- 22. What accounts for the turnover experienced here?
- 23. Have your lost <u>high performing</u> employees to opportunities outside of the Federal Government?
- 24. *In what ways have you encouraged <u>high performing</u> employees to stay with DoC?*
- 25. Do you have suggestions of other strategies that could be employed for retaining <u>high performing</u> employees?

F. Quality of Workforce

The next set of questions pertains to the quality of the workforce.

26. What does DoC do to improve the quality of its workforce?

B-2-28 Appendix B-2

- 27. Do you have suggestions of other strategies that could be employed for improving the workforce's performance?
- **G. Organizational Excellence and Workforce Diversity** (pass out listing of the nine Merit System Principles and the 12 Prohibited Personnel Practices)

We have a few questions about organizational excellence and workforce diversity.

- 28. *Are the nine Merit System Principles followed in your work units?*
- 29. Are the twelve Prohibited Personnel Practices avoided in your work units?
- 30. Do you feel that any of the human resource practices we have discussed have had a <u>positive</u> impact on minorities, women, or veterans within DoC? If yes, how?
- 31. Do you feel that any of the human resource practices we have discussed have had a <u>negative</u> impact on minorities, women, or veterans within DoC? If yes, how?

H. Outstanding Issues

32. Before we end today, do you have any other issues regarding the Demo Project that we have not covered that you would like us to know?

III. CLOSING

In closing, we want to again mention that your comments will be held confidential. We will be combining information that we gather in the focus groups with information gathered from the survey and interviews. We will then prepare our Year Three evaluation report and will submit it to DoC and the Office of Personnel Management later this spring.

Thank you for participating in today's session. We appreciate your taking the time and sharing your ideas with us!

APPENDIX B-3 FOCUS GROUP SITES

Focus Group Sites Spring 2001

SUMMARY OF FOCUS GROUPS CONDUCTED

FOCUS GROUPS	NUMBER
Demonstration Group supervisory groups	4
Demonstration Group non-supervisory groups	5
Demonstration Group all-female group	1
Demonstration Group all-minority group	1
Comparison Group supervisory group	1
Comparison Group non-supervisory groups	4

BREAKDOWN BY LOCATION, FOCUS GROUP TYPE, AND ORGANIZATION

Washington, DC

Demonstration Group

- 1 Focus Group with Non-Supervisors (TA)
- 2 Focus Groups with Non-Supervisors (NOAA)
- 1 Focus Group with Minority Employees (BEA)
- 1 Focus Group with Supervisors (BEA)
- 1 Focus Group with Supervisors (NOAA)

Comparison Group

1 Focus Group with Non-Supervisors (ESA)

Boulder, CO

<u>Demonstration Group</u>

- 1 Focus Group with Non-Supervisors (NOAA-OAR, NOAA-NESDIS, and NTIA)
- 1 Focus Group with Females (NOAA-OAR, NOAA-NESDIS, and NTIA)
- 1 Focus Group with Supervisors (NOAA-OAR, NOAA-NESDIS, and NTIA)

Asheville, NC

Demonstration Group

- 1 Focus Group with Non-Supervisors (NOAA-NESDIS)
- 1 Focus Group with Supervisors (NOAA-NESDIS)

Appendix B-3 B-3-1

Ann Arbor, MI

Comparison Group

2 Focus Groups with Non-Supervisors (NOAA—OAR/ERL)

Woods Hole, MA

Comparison Group

- 1 Focus Group with Non-Supervisors (NOAA—NMFS)
- 1 Focus Group with Supervisors (NOAA—NMFS)

B-3-2 Appendix B-3